



146

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****RFA-398-2019 (O&M)****Date of Decision: May 13, 2026****JAGDISH CHAND**

.....Petitioner

Versus

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS**

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate and  
Mr. Nitish Kaushal, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)**

[1]. By way of present appeal, challenge has been laid to the Award dated 27.11.2017 passed by the learned Addl. District Judge, Mohali (hereinafter to be referred as the 'Reference Court').

[2]. At the very outset, learned counsel for the appellants submits that the present appeal is squarely covered by the judgment dated 25.02.2026 passed by this Court in a bunch of appeals, lead case of which was **RFA-1172-2017**, titled "**Greater Mohali Area Development Authority (GMADA), Through its Estate Officer Vs. Jasvir Singh Dhaliwal and Ors.**" whereby the market value on the date of notification under Section 4 of the Act was assessed @ Rs.4,15,59,750/- per acre.

[3]. Learned counsel for the respondents are not in a position to controvert the above factual position.

[4]. After going through the judgment referred to above as well as the present case, this Court agrees with the assertion made by the learned counsel for the appellant.

[5]. Consequently, the present appeal(s) are disposed of in the same terms as **Jasvir Singh Dhaliwal's case** (supra) and the landowner is held entitled to market value @ Rs.4,15,59,750/- per acre besides granting all other statutory benefits under the Act.

[6]. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of

**13.05.2026**

Tejwinder

**(HARKESH MANUJA)****JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |