



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMC No. 5266 of 2023

Sandesh K. Srinivas

....

Petitioner

Mr. Smruti Ranjan Rout, Advocate

-versus-

State of Orissa & Another

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. Saroj Kumar Rout,
Additional Standing Counsel

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

25.02.2026

Order No.

(Through hybrid Mode)

03. 1. This CRLMC has been filed for quashing the order dated 21.04.2018 taking cognizance of offences under Sections 341, 498-A, 323, 294, 307 and 506 of IPC against the Petitioner as well as for quashing the entire proceedings in connection with Markatnagar P.S. Case No. 28 of 2018 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 299 of 2018 pending in the Court of the learned J.M.F.C.-I (Cog. Taking), Cuttack.
2. Mr. Smruti Ranjan Rout, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the matter is pending in the Court of the learned J.M.F.C.-I (Cog. Taking), Cuttack, the Petitioner has appeared in the case and has been supplied the police paper and thereafter, the case has not proceeded. He further submits that after the impugned order was passed, the Opposite Party No.2 had filed C.P. No. 90 of 2018 under Section 13(1) (1-a) of the Hindu



Marriage Act for divorce. Vide judgment and order dated 18.11.2020 of the learned Judge, Family Court, Cuttack the marriage between the Petitioner and the Opposite Party No.2 has been dissolved without any order towards permanent alimony. The Opposite Party No. 2 does not want to proceed against the Petitioner in G.R. Case No. 299 of 2018.

3. Mr. S.K. Jajodia, learned counsel submits that he has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of Opposite Party No.2. He further submits that he has no objection if the proceedings are quashed as the matrimonial knot between the parties has already been dissolved almost five years ago.

4. List this case tomorrow (26.02.2026) for “Fresh Admission” indicating the name of Mr. S.K. Jajodia, as learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.2, if his Vakalatnama is there on record.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

Sukanta