



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C). No.1148 of 2026

Nirmala Kumar Sahoo ***Petitioner***

Mr. Sukanta Kumar Nayak (2), Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and Others ***Opposite Parties***

Mr. Manmaya Kumar Dash,
Additional Standing Counsel

CORAM:

JUSTICE K.R. MOHAPATRA

JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA

ORDER

29.06.2026

Order No.

- 04.
1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
 2. At para-5 of the counter affidavit, it is stated that the Petitioner was issued Notice No.4425 (20) dated 30th July, 2021 (Annexure-3) for receiving Rs.35,49,434/- by submitting relevant documents and executing sale deed. Out of the said amount, Rs.10,95,200/- was towards the land value and Rs.24,54,234/- was towards structure value.
 3. On subsequent field verification, it was found that the structure (residential house of the Petitioner) actually exists over Plot No.288/1168 under Khata No.256/188 whereas Plot No.288 to an extent of Ac.0.040 dec. under Khata No.256/189, Mouza-Unit-14 Biraja Khetra was lying vacant.
 4. Mr. Nayak, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that Plot No.288 was acquired and the residential house of the Petitioner does not exist thereon.



5. In view of the averments made at para-5 of the counter affidavit, Mr. Nayak, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays for an adjournment to submit documents in support of his case that the residential house of the Petitioner was existing over Plot No.288 and not on Plot No.288/1168.

6. Put up this matter on 3rd August, 2026. Affidavit containing the aforesaid information and enclosing document shall be filed by that date positively.

(K.R. Mohapatra)
Judge

(S.K. Mishra)
Judge

Himansu