



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**  
**W.P.(C). No.2427 of 2026**

**Sweta Pattanayak**

....

**Petitioner**

*Mr. Ramesh Sahoo, Advocate*

*-versus-*

**State of Odisha & others**

....

**Opposite Parties**

*Mr. Debashis Tripathy, AGA*

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MURAHARI SRI RAMAN**

**Order No.**

01.

**ORDER**

**09.04.2026**

*I.* It is submitted by Sri Ramesh Sahoo, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the Order dated 24.06.2024 passed by the learned Additional Commissioner, Additional Revision Court-IV, Odisha, Bhubaneswar, opposite party no.3 under Section 15(b) of the Odisha Survey and Settlement Act, 1958 is erroneous, inasmuch as the Revision Case being OSS Case No.768 of 2022 has been dismissed without examining proper records.

*I.1.* It is further submitted that the petitioners in W.P.(C) No.9467 of 2006 were the purchasers of certain patch of land, which is similarly situated as that of the present petitioner. In the said writ petition, this Court *vide* order dated 19.07.2006 passed the following order:-

“xxxxx

xxxxx

xxxxxxx

*In a recent order passed by us on 6.9.2005 in W.P.(C) No.5058 of 2005 (Purna Chandra Pradhan v. State of Orissa and others), we have held that the limitation prescribed under second proviso to section 7-A of the O.G.L.S. Act cannot be extended and no suo motu revision can be initiated after expiry of 14 years from the date of grant of the lease. Since it*



*is clear from the impugned order that the Addl. District Magistrate, Bhubaneswar has exercised his power under section 7-A of the O.G.L.S. Act much after expiry of the period of 24 years from the date of the original lease, the impugned order under Annexure-6 is unsustainable.*

*We accordingly quash the order dated 11.8.1998 passed by the Addl. District Magistrate, Bhubaneswar in Lease Revision Case No.918 of 1998 under Annexure-6 and allow the writ application.”*

- 1.2. Accordingly, the Tahasildar, Bhubaneswar passed favourable order in obedience of order dated 19.07.2006 passed in W.P.(C) No.9467 of 2006 in respect of the similarly situated purchasers.
2. In view of the above, this matter requires consideration.
3. Issue notice to the opposite parties.
4. Mr. Debashis Tripathy, learned Additional Government Advocate appears and waives service of notice on behalf of opposite parties. He seeks an accommodation to obtain instruction in the matter.
5. List this matter in the week commencing 04.05.2026.

***(M.S. Raman)***  
***Judge***