



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.1458 of 2026

Banita Das

....

Petitioner

Mr. J. Barik, Adv.

-versus-

**Chief Executive Officer
(TPCODL), IDCO Tower, BBSR
& Others**

....

Opposite Parties

*Mr. P. Behera, ASC
Ms. R.R. Jena, Adv. on
behalf of Mr. L.K. Maharana,
Adv.
(for TPCODL)*

COROM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

22.01.2026

Order No

- 01.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.
3. Petitioner has filed the present writ petition inter alia with the following prayer:-

It is therefore prayed that your Lordships be kind enough and graciously be pleased to;

(1) Admit this Writ Petition;

(ii) Issue Notice to the Opp. Parties,

(iii) Issue a Rule NISI calling upon the Opp. parties to show cause as to why the petitioner shall not be awarded Compensation of Rs.5,00,000/- with interest immediately as claimed by her. And if the Opp. Parties do not show cause or show insufficient cause, then issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the opp. parties to pay a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- as compensation to the petitioner within a stipulated period as fixed by this Hon'ble Court:



And this Hon'ble Court be further pleased to direct the Opp. parties to pay interest @ 18% per anum from the date of accident till date of payment and to pay cost of litigation to the petitioner,

(iv) And/or pass any such other Order/orders, direction/directions Writ/Writs, as your Lordships deem just and proper,

And for this act of kindness the Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner state that highlighting her grievances, Petitioner has made a representation before Opposite Party No.3 vide Annexure-2 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-2 within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of this order with due communication to the Petitioner.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

sangita