



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.37844 OF 2025

Kalpana Satpathy

....

Petitioner

Mr. Ashutosh Mishra, Advocate
on behalf of Mr.Rounak Panda, Advocate
-versus-

State of Odisha and others

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. Siba Narayan Biswal,
Additional Standing Counsel
Ms. Bhaktisudha Sahoo, Advocate
(for Caveator)

CORAM:

JUSTICE K.R. MOHAPATRA

JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA

ORDER

09.04.2026

Order No.

- 02.
1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
 2. By filing consent memo, Mr. Mishra, learned counsel appears on behalf of the Petitioner. Consent memo filed in court is taken on record.
 3. The Petitioner in this writ petition prays for the following relief;

“In the above stated circumstances, the petitioner prays that your Lordships shall be pleased to admit the Writ petition and on passing the necessary orders for issuance of notices to the Opp. Parties for their appearances and after hearing both the parties quash the Order i.e Order No-7582(9) / Dtd 13.08.2025 passed by Ld Assit Commissioner of Endowment, Bhubaneswar as per Annexure-5 And quash the consequential orders as per Annexure-6 series direct the Opp. Parties not to disturb in the peaceful management of the petitioner so far Deity’s Nitikranti and day to day affair is concerned.”

4. In course of argument, Mr. Mishra, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays for withdrawal of the writ petition submitting that



a lot of developments took place in the meantime. Hence, no purpose will be served in pursuing the writ petition. He, therefore, prays for withdrawal of the writ petition and submits that the Petitioner may pursue his remedy before the statutory Authority, if he feels aggrieved.

5. Ms. Sahoo, learned counsel appearing for Opposite Party Nos.4 to 11 through Caveat does not have any objection to such prayer.

7. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

(K.R. Mohapatra)
Judge

(S.K. Mishra)
Judge

Sashikant