



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.13896 of 2025

Sangram Kumar Behera

..

Petitioner

Mr. A. Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

..

Opposite Party

Mr. C. Mahanty, Addl. PP

JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY

ORDER(ORAL)

24.02.2026

Order No.

01.

1. This is a bail application U/S.483 of the BNSS by the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Sundergarh Town PS Case No.583 of 2025 arising out of GR Case No.1933 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned SDJM, Sundergarh for commission of offences punishable U/Ss. 336(2)/336(3)/319(2)/318(4) of BNS r/w Sections 66(C)/66(D) of the IT Act.

2. The gist of the allegation is that the complainant found an advertisement on the facebook platforms inviting application from unemployed youths for different type of jobs with handsome salary and perks and the said advertisement contained the logo of Government of Odisha and in the name of the District Administration. On finding this advertisement, the complainant contacted the Mobile Numbers for submission of his resume, but it was ascertained by him that candidate has to deposit a sum of Rs. 3,500/- towards registration fee. As the advertisement seems to be suspicious, the complainant contacted the officials



of the Collectorate, Sundargarh and came to know that no such advertisements was officially published and thereafter, with the assistance of cyber cell, Odisha the complainant ascertained the mobile number to be issued in the name of the present Petitioner and accordingly, lodged an FIR. Finding sufficient materials for proceeding in a criminal case, the Petitioner was taken into custody and he is before this Court in this bail application after his bail application was turned down by the learned Sessions Judge, Sundergarh.

3. Heard, Mr. Asutosh Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner appearing virtually and Mr. C. Mahanty, learned Addl. PP in the matter and perused the record together with the written instruction as submitted by IIC, Town PS, Sundergarh.

4. Admittedly, the Petitioner is in custody since 08.12.2025, but till date, as per the investigation, two witnesses namely as Sarida Barla and Ashok Naik stated to have paid cash of Rs.3,500/- & 4,000/- respectively to the accused-Petitioner without any acknowledgement. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offence as alleged against the petitioner vis-a-vis the accusations sought to be brought against him and regard being had to the pre-trial detention of the petitioner in custody and keeping in view the other circumstances on record in entirety including the inherent right of the accused to be



presumed innocent until proven guilty at the trial, this Court without expressing any view on merits admits the Petitioner to bail, but subject to certain condition.

5. Hence, the bail application of the petitioner stands allowed and the petitioner is allowed to go on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) only with two solvent sureties for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Court in seisin of the case on such terms and conditions as deem fit and proper by it with following conditions:-

(i) the petitioner shall appear before the IO as and when required for the purpose of the investigation till submission of charge sheet and

*(ii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the trial by attending the Court on each date of posting unless his attendance is dispensed with by the learned trial Court and **In case the petitioner fails without sufficient cause to appear in the Court in accordance with the terms of the bail, the learned trial Court may proceed against the Petitioner for offence U/S.269 of BNS, 2023 in accordance with law.***

6. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of. Issue urgent certified copy of the order as per Rules. A soft copy of this order be immediately communicated to the concerned Court, who shall afterwards communicate the same to the concerned Jail through e-mail for reference.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge