



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.37343 of 2025

Kanak Mohanty

....

Petitioner

Mr. R.K. Sahu, Adv.

-versus-

***The Executive Engineer cum
Manager, TPCODL, PED, PUri***

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. L.K. Maharana, Adv.

COROM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

27.02.2026

**Order
No**

1. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.
3. Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition with the following prayer:-

The Petitioner therefore prays that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit the case, issue notice to the Opp. Parties and after hearing the parties issue the appropriate writ/writs in the nature of mandamus/certiorari or any other writ directing to quash the notice dated 08.01.2025 issued by the Opp party No.2 and drop the proceeding for the ends of justice.

And be pleased to pass any other appropriate order(s)/direction(s) as would deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

And for which act of kindness the Petitioner as in duty bound will ever pray.



4. It is contended that without allowing the petitioner to file any objection to Annexure-1, Final Assessment Order has been passed under Annexure-2. It is accordingly contended that such a Final Assessment made and issued under Annexure-2 is not sustainable in the eye of law.

5. Mr. L.K. Maharana, learned counsel appearing for TPCODL on instruction fairly contended that if the petitioner will file a detailed objection to the Provisional Assessment Order issued under Annexure-1, the same will be considered in accordance with law and by giving opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

5.1. Mr. Maharana, learned counsel for TPCODL also fairly contended that if out of the outstanding amount of Rs.67,245/- so reflected in Annexure-1, petitioner will make a deposit of Rs.15,000/-, power supply shall not be disconnected.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner is ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- in two equal instalments without prejudice to her rights and contention within a period of 30 days and on such deposit, power supply be restored.

7. Considering the submissions made, this Court while disposing the Writ Petition, permits the petitioner to file a fresh detailed objection against the Provisional Assessment Order issued under



Annexure-1 within a period of 7 (seven) days hence. On such filing of the objection, O.P. No.2 shall pass a fresh Final Assessment Order by giving opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

7.1. However, considering the rival submission it is directed that on deposit of sum of Rs.15,000/- in two equal instalments, out of the total outstanding amount of Rs.67,245/-, the 1st instalment within a period of 14 days hence and the 2nd one within the next 14 days, power supply shall not be disconnected.

8. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

sangita