



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CONTC No.84 of 2025

Pramod Kumar Gochhi **Petitioner**

Mr. Nalini Kumar Jena, Advocate

-versus-

Akhaya Kumar Parida, ... **Opposite Party/
Tahasildar, Tigiria** **Contemnor**

Mrs. Usharani Padhi, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA

ORDER

29.04.2025

Order No.

CONTC No.84 of 2025 & I.A. No.11 of 2025

08. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The CONTC being filed beyond the statutory period, I.A. No.11 of 2025 has been filed for condonation of delay. There is no such provision to condone the delay in a contempt proceeding beyond the statutory period. Apart from that learned Counsel for the State, who represents the Opposite Party-Contemnor, hands up instruction received from the Tahasildar, Tigiria vide letter No.278 dated 21.01.2025, to which photo copy of the order sheet of the Demarcation Case has been enclosed, which indicates that vide order dated 23.05.2023, the demarcation case was dropped, in view of pendency of RFA No.04 of



2009, which was brought to the notice of the Tahasildar, Tigiria by one the Objector namely, Prahallad Bal. However, since the CONTC has been initiated beyond the statutory period, I.A. for condonation of delay stands dismissed.

3. Accordingly, the contempt proceeding also stands dropped and dismissed.

(S.K. MISHRA)
JUDGE

Kanhu

