

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
EXP No.27 of 2024
CNR No. ODHC010915682024

1) Binodini Tripathy
2) Bibhas Tripathy

.....

Petitioners

Represented by Adv. –
Mr. Prafulla Chandra
Acharya

-versus-

1) State of Odisha
2) Director, Elementary Education
3) Block Edu. Officer, Angul

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –
Mr. S.J. Mohanty,
A.S.C.

CORAM:
REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
ORDER
12.08.2026

Order No.

21. Mr. Acharya, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.S.C. are present.

Perused the case records and disposed of order of Hon'ble Court dated 08.05.2023 passed in WP(C) (OAC) 1442 of 2011, show cause affidavit filed O.P. 2 and particularly last order dated 24.06.2026 passed in this execution petition.

As reveals from the case record despite several directions made by this executing court, order of the Hon'ble Court has not been complied with. There is nothing in the case record to show that the order of the Hon'ble court passed has been implemented. Rather it was mentioned in the show cause affidavit filed by the opposite party that the State of Odisha i.e. opposite party has filed writ appeal bearing W.A. No.1917 of 2025 against this petitioner by challenging order dated

08.05.2023. The said writ appeal is now pending before this Hon'ble Court for final adjudication.

In view of the above circumstances, the learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to produce by affidavit as to the manner in which he wants to get the order of the Hon'ble Court executed. The learned counsel has filed affidavit mentioning therein that he wants to execute the order by way of attachment of the following property.

SCHEDULE OF LAND

“District- Anugola, Tahasil-Anugola, R.I. Office-Anugola, Mouza- Anugola Town, B.E.O.-Office-Anugola, Khata No.-1, Plot No.-961/1892, Area- Ac. 0.12 dcms (Education Department Government of Odisha), Plot No.-1795/1893, Area- Ac.0.03 dcms (Education Department), Plot No.- 960/1894, A.c.0.12 dcms. (Education Department)”.

On the other hand the learned A.S.C would submit that in case of attachment of the office general public who does not have any nexus with this litigation will suffer. Heard both the sides and in view of the above submissions of the learned A.S.C it is suggested to the petitioner to submit list of any other property of the O.P.s for the purpose of attachment.

Put up the matter on 16.09.2026.

Registrar (Judicial)
Lawzima Court