



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMP No. 1702 of 2025

Chakradhar Majhi

....

Petitioner

Mr. Parsuram Panda, Advocate

-versus-

***1. State of Odisha represented through
it's Commissioner -cum- Secretary to
Government Home Department,
Bhubaneswar***

2. S.P., Bhadrak

3. I.I.C. Bansada, Police Station

4. Gouri Majhi

5. Babuli Majhi

6. Sipun Majhi

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. Ashok Kumar Apat,
Additional Government Advocate

CORAM:

JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

25.02.2026

Order No.

(Through hybrid Mode)

- 04.
1. This CRLMP has been filed for a direction to the S.P., Bhadrak for taking steps to complete investigation of the matter and arrest the Opposite Party Nos. 4 to 6 on the basis of the complaint under Annexure-2 and to appoint investigating officer other than the I.I.C., Bansada Police Station and to take action against the accused persons as per law.
 2. The allegation of the Petitioner is that although Bansada P.S. Case No. 281 of 2025 has been registered against Gouri Majhi (mother-in-law) – Opposite Party No.4, Babuli Majhi (father-in-law) - Opposite Party No.5, Sipun Majhi (brother-in-



law) - Opposite Party No.6 and Dipun Majhi (husband) for commission of offences punishable under Section 85, 80(2), 103(1), 3(5) of the BNS and Section 4 of the D.P. Act on 03.09.2025, but only Dipun Majhi has been arrested on 23.09.2025.

3. Mr. Parsuram Panda, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that ABLAPL No. 13241 of 2025 filed by Opposite Party No.4 Gouri Majhi has been disposed of on 25.11.2025 by this Court, permitting her to surrender before the learned J.M.F.C, Chandabali within one month for bail, but neither has she surrendered in the Court below and moved for bail nor has she been arrested. No steps have been taken for arrest of the co-accused Babuli Majhi and Sipun Majhi.

4. Mr. Ashok Kumar Apat, learned Additional Government Advocate produces the instructions dated 18.12.2025 of the I.I.C., Bansada Police Station which confirms the submissions of the learned counsel that till date accused Gouri Majhi, Babuli Majhi and Sipun Majhi have not been arrested in the case. The instructions are taken on record. The same shall be scanned and incorporated in the digital record.

5. As I was not satisfied with the instructions dated 10.02.2026, by order dated 11.02.2026, further report had been called for and the case has been posted to today. Today when the matter is taken up, instructions dated 24.02.2026 of the I.I.C., Bansada Police Station is produced where steps taken during investigation have been stated and it has also been stated as follows:-



“That, during course of investigation the 10 He has visited the spot and prepared spot map indicating all relevant points. He has examined the informant, other witnesses and record their statement U/S-180BNSS, utilized scientific team for inspection of spot and collection of physical evidences, conducted inquest over the dead body of deceased Mamali Majhi and sent her DHH, Bhadrak for P.M examination, seized the wearing apparels of deceased, arrested Dipunu Majhi (23),S/O-Babuli @ Maheswar Majhi, Vill-Sendhagada, PS-Bansada, Dist-Bhadrak on 23.0.2025 and forwarded him to court, seized the marriage photograph of couple, seized the available dowry articles as per dowry list provide by the compit and prepared seizure list and left in zimma to complat after executing proper zimanama, seized the biological sample of accused as collected by MO under proper seizure list, examined the scribe of the FIR. Sent the exhibits to SFSL, Rasulgarh Bhubaneswar for chemical examination and opinion. Submitted prayer to SP Bhadrak for supply of CDR of the alleged individuals mobile phone numbers, obtained the same. On analyzing CDR, it was ascertained that at the time of occurrence the location of alleged persons namely Babuli Majhi (father-in-law) and brother-n-law Sipunu Majhi was out of the locality, received the P.M report in which M.O has opined the cause of death 1-combined effect of asphyxia and venous congestion as a result of ante mortem hanging 2-the pressure abrasion detected around the neck is antemortem in nature and is consistent with the ligature mark of a case of ante mortem hanging 3-the time since death is within 24 hours at the time



of autopsy. The IO has submitted query regarding cause of death of deceased in which the M.O. DHH Bhadrak opined that the cause of death of deceased is suicidal hanging. Made prayer to SP Bhadrak for supply of CDR of mobile phone numbers of alleged accused and obtained the same. On analyzing of CDR of the mobile phone numbers of alleged father-in-law and brother-in-law namely and mobile no 8658120499 of alleged father-in-law Babuli Majhi was found at Marshagahi, Kendrapara and Ersama, Jagatsinghpur area since 30.08.2025. Likewise mobile no 7326086246 of alleged brother-in-law Sipun Majhi was in Ananthpur, Andhrapadesh. Apart from this the nearby witnesses of the spot also revealed that at the date and house of occurrence father-in-law Babuli Majhi and brother-in-law Sipun Majhi were not present at the spot house of their locality.

The copy of Post mortem report which is annexed as (ANNEXURE-4).

The copy of query report regarding death of deceased which is annexed as (ANNEXURE-5).

The copy of CDR of alleged accd Father-in-law Babuli Majhi, brother-in-law Sipun Majhi which is annexed as (ANNEXURE-6).”

6. The copy of the post mortem report, copy of query report regarding the death of the deceased and copy of the CDR records of accused Babuli Majhi, Sipun Majhi have also been annexed. It has also been stated that regular searches have been conducted in the house, but Gouri Majhi remained absconding from her house. Sources were engaged, but her whereabouts could not be ascertained and sincere steps have been taken for her early arrest.



The allegation that the local police is not arresting accused has been denied.

7. After hearing Mr. Parsuram Panda, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Mr. Ashok Kumar Apat, learned Additional Government Advocate and perusing the instructions produced from time to time by the I.I.C., Bansada Police Station, it is found that investigation is going on and steps have been taken for arresting the accused persons, but to no avail.

8. Hence, the CRLMP is disposed of directing the Opposite Party No.3 - I.I.C., Bansada Police Station as well as I.O. in the case to take expeditious steps for arresting the other accused persons who still remain at large and make an attempt to complete the investigation expeditiously.

9. If the Petitioner is aggrieved by any action / inaction of the I.O., it is open to him to avail the alternate remedy which is available to him under law.

10. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

Sukanta