



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CONTC No.6116 of 2025

Charan Mohanty

....

Petitioner

Mr. B.K. Behera-1, Advocate

-versus-

***Shri V. Keertivasan, I.A.S,
Collector-cum-Chairman District
Valuation Committee, Ganjam
and Others***

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. U.C. Behura, AGA

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHITTARANJAN DASH

ORDER

24.04.2026

Order No.

- 08.
1. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
 2. The application has been filed by the Petitioner praying to initiate a proceeding for contempt of Court against the Opposite Parties and to punish them suitably in accordance with law for non-compliance of the order dated 07.03.2025 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) No.28526 of 2024.
 3. This Court vide order dated 15.04.2026 directed the Opposite Parties to obtain instructions. Today, the learned Addl. Government Advocate submits the show-cause affidavit filed by Addl. Tahasildar, Konisi annexed as Flag-C. In the said affidavit, the Addl. Tahasildar, who was in charge of Sub-



Registrar, Konisi of 30th December, 2025 submitted that vide order dated 07.03.2025, this Court was pleased to direct the Sub-Registrar, Konisi to register the sale deed, upon its presentation by the Petitioner in respect of the case land in terms of the decision taken by the District Level Valuation Committee, Ganjam, Chhatrapur dated 27th January, 2022 and the notification made pursuant thereto. However, it is mentioned in the affidavit that the Petitioner never submitted any document for registration and as such, there is no violation of the order of this Court. It is further submitted in the affidavit that from the e-registration portal of slot log-book, the deponent verified if the Petitioner has booked any slot for registration of document. He did not find any such slot to have been booked by the Petitioner for registration of any document.

4. The aforesaid fact, being enquired from Mr. Behera-1, learned counsel for the Petitioner, if he had booked any slot for registration of the document i.e. the sale deed in question, he replies in negative. He submits that in absence of any rectification in the bench mark valuation in terms of the order passed by the Court in W.P.(C) No.28526 of 2024, he had no scope to submit the instrument. Apparently, therefore, there was no instrument before the Sub-Registrar, Konisi for registration. The Petitioner, vide order dated 07.03.2025 was categorically directed to get his instrument submitted before the Sub-Registrar, Konisi with the stamp duty as contemplated by the District Level Valuation Committee dated 27th January, 2022, whereas the Petitioner never produced the instrument on the



basis of the stamp duty as notified on 27th January, 2022. Hence, question of violation of the order of this Court does not arise.

5. This CONTC accordingly stands dropped.

(Chittaranjan Dash)
Judge

Bijay