



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.41677 of 2021

***Governing Body of Hattakeswar
Mahila Higher Secondary School,
Baghamari*** ***Petitioner***

None

-versus-

State of Odisha and others ***Opp. Parties***

*Mr. Manmaya Kumar Dash,
Additional Standing Counsel
(For Opposite Party Nos.1 to 5)
Mr. Deepak Gochhayat, CGC
(For Opposite Party No.6)
Ms. Nitu Roy, Advocate
(For Opposite Party Nos.7 & 8)*

CORAM:

JUSTICE K.R. MOHAPATRA

JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA

ORDER

23.02.2026

Order No.

- 13.
1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
 2. None appears for the Petitioner at the time of call.
 3. Mr. Dash, learned Additional Standing Counsel for Opposite Party Nos.1 to 5 so also Mr. Gochhayat, learned Central Government Counsel for Opposite Party No.6 and Ms. Roy, learned Counsel for Opposite Party Nos.7 & 8 are present.
 4. This writ petition has been filed with the following prayer:

*“Under the above circumstance, it is therefore
humbly prayed that this Hon’ble Court may be*



graciously pleased to issue a writ in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, direction or order by quashing the notice dated 07.10.2021 issued by the Land Acquisition Officer under Annexure-13 or in alternative direction may be issued to opposite parties 4 to 7 to provide equal extent of the land to the college in lieu of the acquisition of college land for enabling the college to exist and function smoothly without any hindrance due to short fall of the college land.

And this Hon'ble Court be pleased to direct the opposite parties 1 and 2 not to take any coercive action against the petitioner due to acquisition of college land by the National Highways Authority of India, since the same is not within the control of the college authorities and since large number of girl students of the locality are depending on the college in question for their higher education.

And this Hon'ble Court be pleased to pass any further order/orders, direction/directions as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

And for this act of kindness, as in duty bound, the petitioner shall ever pray."

5. From the order sheet, it appears that the Petitioner is taking repeated adjournments. Today, he is not present to pursue the matter.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(K.R. Mohapatra)
Judge

(S.K. Mishra)
Judge