



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLREV No.1072 of 2025

Luzun Kumar Das

....

Petitioner

Mr. N. Lenka, Advocate

-versus-

1. State of Odisha

....

Opposite Parties

2. Informant

Mr. M.R. Mohanty, AGA
Mr. A.K. Biswal, Advocate

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

23.03.2026

Order No.

03.

1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.2-Informant.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that ex-facie there is perversity in exercise of jurisdiction since even if the materials on record are accepted at its face value, no offence inter alia under Section 376 of IPC is made out.

3. Such submission is opposed by the learned counsel for the State as well as Opposite Party No.2-Informant.

4. This Court perused the 164 Cr.P.C. statement of the victim and the statement of other witnesses including the statement of daughter of the Informant.



5. On perusal of the same, this Court is not persuaded to hold that there is any perversity in the order taking cognizance of the offences including under the I.T. Act.

6. It is needless to state here that it shall be open to the Petitioner to raise all grounds as available in law at the time of consideration of charge which shall be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by the order passed by this Court which is at the stage of cognizance.

7. Accordingly, the CRLREV stands disposed of. In view of disposal CRLREV, pending I.As., if any, stand disposed of.

(V. Narasingh)
Judge