



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

Saian @ Sanatan Patra
(In CRLA No.1315 of 2024)

Raju @ Rajkishore Das

(In CRLA No.813 of 2024) ...

Appellants

Mr. S.K. Dash, Advocate
(for both the appellants)

-versus-

State of Odisha and another ...

Respondents

Mr. M.R. Patra, Addl. PP

CORAM: JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY

ORDER(ORAL)

24.02.2026

Order No.

05.

I.A. No.547 of 2026 (in CRLA No.813 of 2024)

1. The appellant has filed IA No.547 of 2026 for grant of interim bail for illness of his daughter.

2. Heard. After having considered the rival submissions and on going through the averments taken in the IA together with the documents annexed thereto, this Court does not find any good ground to grant interim bail to the appellant, since the patient was comfortably taken to DHH, Dhenkanal for her treatment in absence of the petitioner.

3. Hence, the present application for grant of interim bail to the petitioner stands rejected. Accordingly, the IA No.547 of 2026 stands dismissed.

CRLA Nos.1315 & 813 of 2024

4. Since these two CRLAs arise out of one and same case record, the same are heard together and disposed of by this common order with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties.

5. These are the criminal appeals in nature of Section 14-A of the Scheduled Caste & Scheduled Tribe (Prevention



of Atrocities) Act, 1989 together with amendment Act, 2016 (in short, "the Act") are directed against the order dated 28.11.2024 passed in CT (Spl.) Case No.15 of 2024 by which the learned Judge, Special Court, Dhenkanal has refused to release the appellant on bail in connection with Gondia PS Case No.94 of 2024, for commission of offence punishable U/Ss.341/323/294/506/302/34 of IPC r/w Sections 3(1)(r) (s)/3(2)(v)(va) of the Act, on the main allegation of committing murder of the deceased Ekadashi Mahalik by assaulting him with deadly weapons.

6. Heard, Mr. Saroj Kumar Dash, learned counsel for both the appellants and Mr. M.R. Patra, learned Additional Public Prosecutor in the matter and perused the record. None appears for the informant/victim despite being duly intimated as apprised by learned Addl. PP.

7. After having considered the rival submissions and taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offence alleged against the appellants vis-a-vis the accusations sought to be brought against them and regard being had to the materials placed on record together with the statement of the eye witness and taking into account the other circumstances on record in entirety, this Court does not find any good ground to grant bail to any of the appellants.

8. Accordingly, these CRLAs stand dismissed. A copy of this order be immediately transmitted to the learned Court in seisin over the matter for reference.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge