



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.35522 of 2025

S. Sanyasi Reddy

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Sanjib Mohanty

-versus-

***The registrar, OUAT BBSR
and others***

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –

Smt. S. Nayak, ASC
Saswat Das, S.Das

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

30.03.2026

Order No.

- 03.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
 2. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
 3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the outset referred to the order passed in W.P.(C) No.20311 of 2020 disposed of on 03.03.2023 and submitted before this Court that the facts of that cases identical to the facts of the present case. He further submitted that in the said case, the coordinate Bench of this Court has held that in the matter of regularization of service of the Petitioner, the State Government has no role and it is entire day up to the Opposite Party-University which is an autonomous body and finally while disposing of the writ



petition a direction was given to the Opposite Party-University to take a decision on the claim of the Petitioner for regularization of service of the Petitioner in that case. With reference to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court mentioned in Para-12 of the order. He further submitted that the aforesaid order was challenged before the Hon'ble Supreme Court by the Opposite Party-University by filing SLP(Civil) Diary No 44605 of 2023. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 23.08.2024 dismissed the SLP(C) on merit. As such order dated 03.03.2023 passed in ***Smt. Api Dangua and others vs. The Registrar, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology and another*** as attained finality. He further contended that pursuant to the aforesaid order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the Opposite Party No.2- University has already issued an office order dated 10.07.2025 thereby regularizing the service of the Petitioner in that case. He further alleged that some of the persons who are junior to the Petitioner have already been regularized ignoring the case of the present Petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the Opposite Party-University at this stage sought adjournment to file the counter affidavit on the ground that the counter has already been prepared and the same has been sent to the University for waiting.

5. Considering such submission, she is granted two weeks' time as a last chance to file the counter affidavit after serving



a copy thereof on the learned counsel for the Petitioner.

6. List this matter in the week commencing 04.05.2026.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Sisir

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SISIR KUMAR SETHI
Designation: PERSONAL ASSISTANCE
Reason: Authentication
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT
Date: 15-Apr-2026 18:33:46

