



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.31846 of 2024

Latifa Khatun

....

**Petitioner**

Mr. C. Nayak, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha & others

....

**Opposite Parties**

Mr. R. Pradhan, ASC

Mr. J.K. Mishra, Sr. Advocate (O.P. No.5)

**CORAM:**

**MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAİK**

**ORDER**

**13.03.2025**

**I.A Nos.1809 of 2025 & 1810 of 2025**

Order No.

06.

1. Heard in part.
2. Mr. Nayak, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Court's order dated 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2024 stands complied with in the meantime, hence, cannot be recalled as the same is not permissible in view of decision of the Apex Court in **Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others Vrs Adani Power Rajasthan Ltd. and another 2024 SCC OnLine SC 313**.
3. In reply and response to the above, Mr. Mishra, learned Senior Advocate for opposite party No.5 submits that fraud has been played upon the Court and it has led to demarcation of the case land by opposite party No.4 despite the order in I.A. No.163 of 2022 arising out of C.S. No.303 of 2022 of the files of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Jajpur Road. Responding to the same, Mr. Nayak, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that such an order of status quo was passed in I.A. No.177 of 2022 is corresponding to C.S. No.327 of 2022 and it was set aside in FAO No.08 of 2023 by the learned Additional District Judge, Jajpur. As there is a dispute, as to in which IA, such an order of status quo was passed, since Mr.



Nayak, learned counsel for the petitioner produced a copy of the order in FAO No.08 of 2023, to consider the same, opposite party No.5 is directed to file an additional affidavit for final orders on the next date.

4. List on 28<sup>th</sup> March, 2025 for orders.

**(R.K. Pattanaik)**  
**Judge**

Alok

**Signature Not Verified**

Digitally Signed  
Signed by: ALOK RANJAN SETHY  
Reason: Authentication  
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT  
Date: 18-Mar-2025 11:31:40

