



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.35122 of 2025

Anadi Choudhury

....

Petitioner

Ms. Pragnya Paramita Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

***The Assistant Settlement Officer,
Settlement Office, Jeypore, Koraput and
others***

....

Opposite Parties

Mr.Saswat Das, AGA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MURAHARI SRI RAMAN

Order No.

02.

ORDER

25.02.2026

1. Ms. Pragnya Paramita Mohanty, learned counsel for the petitioner drew attention of this Court to Annexure-3, a correspondence addressed to the Collector and Revisional Authority, Malkangiri by the Tahasildar, Malakangiri, which reads as thus:-

“xxx

xxx

xxx

*Annexure I-(DNK Khata of Sikhapalli and
Tandapalli mauza)*

5. *In Hal Settlement the petitioner's father Kirti Chaudhury had got recorded land Hc.1.370(AC 3.38) agriculture land in Sikhapalli mauza vide Kh no.110 instead of Hc.1.630 (Ac.4.03). Here Hc.0.260 (Ac 0.65) land has less recorded in that khata.*



Further the petitioner's father had got recorded land Hc0.330 (Ac.0.81) H/S and agriculture land in Tandapalli mauza vide Khata no.194 instead of Hc. 0.394 (Ac 0.97). Here HC.0.064(Ac 0.016) land has less recorded in that khata.

xxx

xxx

xxx”

1.1. It is submitted that despite such a report explicitly reflecting the status and the entry made in the Record of Rights in the Hal Settlement by Tahasildar, the Revision Petition under Section 15(b) of the Odisha Survey and Settlement Act, 1958 being S.R.P. No.16 of 2018 has come to be rejected on a flimsy ground.

2. Issue notice.

3. Mr. Saswsat Das, learned Additional Government Advocate appears and waives issue of notice on behalf of the opposite parties.

4. List this matter in the week commencing on 16th March, 2026 enabling the learned Additional Government Advocate to obtain instruction in this case.

(M.S. Raman)
Judge