



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.35131 of 2025

Chakradhara Roul

.....

Petitioner

Mr. P.C. Jena, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. M.R. Mohanty, AGA

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

11.12.2025

Order No.01

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard Mr. P.C. Jena, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and Mr. M.R. Mohanty, learned Addl. Govt. Advocate appearing for the Opp. Parties.
3. The present writ petition has been filed inter alia with the following prayer:-

“It is, therefore, prayed that let this Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to admit this writ petition, issue Rule Nisi in calling upon the opposite parties to file show cause as to why a direction shall not be issued for making payment of monthly salary/remuneration of the petitioner and regularization of his service from the date of his joining on 5.12.2016 to as on with immediate effect;

AND

If the Opposite Parties are fail to show cause or show their insufficient cause let this Hon'ble Court make the said rule absolute and upon hearing the parties direction may kindly be issued for making payment of monthly salary/remuneration of the petitioner and



regularization of his service from the date of his joining on 5.12.2016 to as on with immediate effect;

And pass any other order/orders, writ/writs, direction/directions, as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the eye of law.

And for this act of kindness, the Petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray.”

4. It is contended that even though Petitioner has been held entitled to get the benefit and accordingly letter dtd.25.10.2025 under Annexure-6 was issued by Opp. Party No. 5 to Opp. Party No. 9, but Opp. Party No. 9 is not acting on the same. Because of such in action on the part of Opp. Party No. 9, Petitioner is not getting the benefit as prayed for.

5. Learned Addl. Govt. Advocate on the other hand contended that if the request made by Opp. Party No. 5 is not being carried out by Opp. Party No. 9, Petitioner should approach Opp. Party No. 5 for consideration of his grievance.

6. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties, considering the submission made and taking into account the contents of the letter dtd.25.10.2025 so issued under Annexure-6, this Court while disposing the writ petition, permits the Petitioner to move Opp. Party No. 5 for compliance of his direction so contained in letter dtd.25.10.2025.

6.1. However, it is observed that, if any such application is moved before Opp. Party No. 5 within a period of two (2) weeks, the said Opp. Party shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a further period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of such



application and communicate the result of such exercise to the Petitioner.

7. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Sneha