



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMC No.5178 of 2025

Chandan Sahu

.....

Petitioner

Represented By Adv. -
Bhabani Samal

-versus-

State Of Odisha and another

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented By Adv. –

Mr. U.C. Jena, ASC

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

09.12.2025

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State. Perused the application as well as the prayer made therein.
3. By filing of the present application under Section 528 of BNSS, the Petitioner seeks quashing of the charge-sheet No.37 dated 17.08.2017 which arises out of Bahalda P.S. Case No.35 of 2017 which corresponds to G.R. Case No.292 of 2017 pending in the file of learned S.D.J.M., Rairangpur.
4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the outset contended that the above noted case was registered for commission of an offence under Sections 341/294/506/34 of



IPC. He further submitted that the FIR was lodged due to political rivalry between the two groups the Petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further contended that there is specific allegation against the present Petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the State on the other hand objected to the prayer made in the present Petitioner. He further submitted that in the meantime, investigation has been concluded and charge-sheet has been filed, therefore the present application is not maintainable. Accordingly, it was prayed by the application filed by the Petitioner to involve the present application.

6. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsels appearing for both sides, on a careful examination and the background facts, this Court observed that the dispute involved in the FIR under challenge political rivalry which is manifest FIR itself. Since a charge-sheet has already been filed, this Court is not inclined to entertain in the present application while disposing of the present application liberty is granted to the Petitioner to file an application for discharge at the time of framing of charge. In such eventuality, learned trial Court shall consider such application in accordance with law and dispose of the application by passing a reasoned order.



7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the CRLMC stands disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Sisir