



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

Ulash Majhi

(In BLAPL No. 12719 of 2025)

Dilip Garadia and others

(In BLAPL No. 405 of 2026)

Tamana Praska

(In BLAPL No. 580 of 2026)

Simanchal Majhi

(In BLAPL No. 582 of 2026)

Mukesh Nag @ Mukesh Nagabansha

(In BLAPL No. 793 of 2026)

... Petitioners

Mr. B.P. Nanda, Advocate
(In BLAPL No. 12719 of 2025)

Mr. H.B. Dash, Advocate
(In BLAPL No. 405 of 2026)

Mr. P. Panigrahi, Advocate
(In BLAPL Nos. 580 & 582 of 2026)

Mr. S.K. Bhanjadeo, Advocate
(In BLAPL No. 793 of 2026)

-versus-

State of Odisha

... Opposite Party

Mr. C. Mahanty, Addl. PP

CORAM: JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY

ORDER(ORAL):23.03.2026

Order No.

03.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).

2. Since these five bail applications arise out of one and same case record, the same are heard together and disposed of by this common order with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties.

3. These are the bail applications U/S.483 of BNSS by the petitioners for grant of bail in connection with GR Case No. 633 of 2025 arising out of Rayagada PS Case No. 319 of 2025 pending in the file of learned SDJM, Rayagada for commission of offence punishable U/S. 310(2) of BNS, on the main allegation of



committing dacoity from the informant and taking away Rs. 9 Lakhs from him.

4. Heard, Mr. Biraja Prasad Nanda, learned counsel for the Petitioner in BLAPL No. 12719 of 2025; Mr. Himanshu Bhusan Dash, learned counsel for the Petitioners in BLAPL No. 405 of 2026; Mr. Panchanan Panigrahi, learned counsel for the Petitioners in BLAPL Nos. 580 & 582 of 2026; Mr. Sanjib Kumar Bhanjadeo, learned counsel for the Petitioner in BLAPL No. 793 of 2026 and Mr. C. Mahanty, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor in the matter and perused the record.

5. After having considered the rival submissions upon perusal of record, there appears allegation against the Petitioners for committing dacoity and taking away Rs. 9 Lakhs from the informant, but the Petitioners Dilip Garadia, Hira Naik @ Hiranaik Garadia, Indramani Karkaria @ Indramani Karakaria, Tamana Praska and Mukesh Nag @ Mukesh Nagabansha have allegedly been identified in the TI parade, whereas the Petitioners Ulash Majhi and Simanchal Majhi have not been identified in such TI parade. In the aforesaid facts and circumstance and taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offences as alleged against the petitioners vis-a-vis the accusations sought to be brought against them and regard being had to the pre trial detention of the Petitioners in custody with submission of charge sheet in the meantime and keeping in view the alleged identification of Petitioners Dilip Garadia, Hira Naik @ Hiranaik Garadia, Indramani Karkaria @ Indramani Karakaria, Tamana Praska and



Mukesh Nag @ Mukesh Nagabansha, this Court while not being inclined to grant bail to the petitioners Dilip Garadia, Hira Naik, Indramani Karkaria @ Indramani Karakaria, Tamana Praska and Mukesh Nag @ Mukesh Nagabansha in BLAPL Nos. 405, 580 & 793 of 2026 respectively, considers it proper to admit the petitioners Ulash Majhi in BLAPL No. 12719 of 2025 and Simanchal Majhi in BLAPL No.582 of 2026 to bail.

6. Hence, the bail application of petitioners- Petitioners Dilip Garadia, Hira Naik @ Hiranaik Garadia, Indramani Karkaria @ Indramani Karakaria, Tamana Praska and Mukesh Nag @ Mukesh Nagabansha in BLAPL Nos. 405, 580 & 793 of 2026 stand rejected, whereas the bail applications of the petitioners namely Ulash Majhi and Simanchal Majhi in BLAPL Nos. 12719 of 2025 & 582 of 2026 are allowed and consequently, the Petitioners Ulash Majhi and Simanchal Majhi are allowed to go on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Court in seisin of the case on such terms and conditions as deem fit and proper by it

7. Accordingly, these BLAPLs stand disposed of. Issue urgent certified copy of the order as per Rules. A soft copy of this order be immediately communicated to the concerned Court, who shall afterwards communicate the same to the concerned Jail through e-mail for reference.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge