



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
RSA No.160 of 2021

Sidhart Sankar Satua

.....

Appellant

Represented by Adv. -
Achutananda Routray

-versus-

Dr.Panchana Satua and others

.....

Respondents

Represented by Adv. –

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE ANANDA CHANDRA BEHERA

ORDER

09.04.2026

Order No.

03. 1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard the 2nd appeal from the learned Counsel for the Appellant on the point of admission.
3. Admit the 2nd appeal on formulation of the following substantial questions of law i.e.:-
- (i) “Whether, the judgment and decree passed by the learned Trial Court and the confirmation of the same by the learned 1st Appellate Court are bad on the ground of non-compliance of the provisions of Order 6 Rule 4 of the C.P.C, 1908, as the impugned sale deed vide Ext.A was challenged by the Plaintiff on the ground of execution of the same by practicing fraud.?”
- (ii) “Whether, the Plaintiff was estopped under law to challenge the sale deed vide Ext.A, when, in spite of knowing the execution of the same, he had remained silent till the death of her mother



(executant of the sale deed) without filing any suit for the same and he had filed the suit only after the death of the mother (executant of the sale deed)?”

4. Issue notice to the Respondents.
5. The learned counsel for the Appellant is directed to file requisites within seven working days for issuance of notices to the Respondents through Speed Post.
6. Registry is directed to call for the photo copies of the LCRs of this 2nd appeal.
7. List this matter in the Month of October, 2026.

(ANANDA CHANDRA BEHERA)
Judge

Binayak