



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.A. No.3191 of 2024

Dhirendra Puhan

....

Appellant

Mr. S. Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & others

....

Respondents

Mr. S. B. Panda, AGA

CORAM:

JUSTICE KRISHNA SHRIPAD DIXIT

JUSTICE CHITTARANJAN DASH

ORDER

26.02.2026

Order No.

03.

The subject matter of this Writ Appeal is substantially similar to the one treated by this Court in W.A. No.3190 of 2024 between ***Sarat Chandra Patra v. State of Odisha & others*** decided on 29.01.2026 and therefore, the relief granted to the employee in that writ appeal has to be extended to the Appellant herein, submits learned counsel for the Appellant.

2. In the cognate case, the following relief was granted to the litigants:

“In the above circumstances, this Writ Appeal succeeds; the impugned order of the learned Single Judge is set at naught; Appellant’s W.P.(C) No. 15098 of 2014 having been allowed, a Writ of mandamus issues to Respondents to grant or cause regularization of service of the Appellant with effect from the date he completed 10 years of uninterrupted services and grant all consequential benefits to him within an outer limit of three months without giving scope for contempt proceedings.”



3. Learned AGA appearing for the Respondents, having gone through the said judgment of this Court, in all fairness, submit that substantially the version of the Appellant's counsel is true.

4. It is a cardinal principle of administration of justice that like cases should be treated alike subject to all just exceptions and no case is made out that would fit into such exceptions.

In the above circumstances, this Writ Appeal is disposed off in terms of the order in the cognate case mentioned above with conditions *mutatis-mutandis*.

Now, no costs.

Web copy of order to be acted upon by all concerned.

(Krishna Shripad Dixit)
Judge

(Chittaranjan Dash)
Judge