



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No. 33652 of 2022 and W.P.(C) Nos. 3776, 4156, 4660,
4664, 6480, 6482, 6634 & 7801 of 2024
WP(C) No. 33652 of 2022

Narahari Patra

.....

Petitioner

Mr. L.K. Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S. Das, ASC

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY
ORDER
04.02.2026

Order No. 05

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
3. Pursuant to order dtd.20.01.2026, learned Addl. Standing Counsel produced the decision taken by the High Power Committee in its proceeding dtd.05.12.2023 in Court. The same be kept in record.
4. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners in the present batch of writ petitions contended that Petitioners though are otherwise eligible to get the benefit of regularization but basing on the decision taken by the High Power Committee their claim for regularization was rejected in each of the cases.
5. However, it is contended that person similarly situated have been regularized vide orders issued under Annexure-11 series in W.P.(C) No. 33652 of 2022.



6. Learned Addl. Standing Counsel is directed to obtain instruction as to whether person regularized vide order under Annexure-11 series are similarly situated as like the Petitioners in the present batch.

7. As requested, list these matters in the week commencing 9th March, 2026.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Sneha