



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
ABLAPL No. 13775 of 2025

1. Soumya Ranjan Jena *Petitioners*
@ Miku
2. Rashmi Ranjan Jena @
Papuli
3. Lipu Sahoo @ Chenga
4. Shakti Prasad Behera
@ Beda
5. Sonu Sahoo @ Juju
6. Srikanta Nayak @
Papu
7. Dipuna Baral @ Dipu

Mr. A.N. Das, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

.... *Opposite Party*

Mr. S. Panda, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

09.12.2025

Order No.

- 01.** 1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and learned counsel for the State.
2. The Petitioners are seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with G.R. Case No.1708 of 2025 pending on the file of learned S.D.J.M., Dhenkanal arising out of Dhenkanal Sadar P.S. Case No.937 of 2025 for commission of offences punishable under Sections 115(2)/296/351(3)/118(1)/109(1)/3(5) of BNS.



3. During the course of hearing, at the outset learned counsel for the Petitioner does not want to press the application for pre-arrest bail in respect of Petitioner No.1.

4. Accordingly, the ABLAPL in respect of Petitioner No.1 stands disposed of as not pressed.

5. As such, the ABLAPL is confined to Petitioner Nos.2 to 7.

6. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioners that taking into account the nature of allegation, which are more or less against Petitioner No.1, the other Petitioners are implicated in a mechanical manner. Hence, Petitioner Nos.2 to 7 may be protected by pre-arrest bail.

7. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for pre-arrest bail referring to the statement of the complainant-injured and referring to the injuries which according to him corresponds to the allegations.

8. This court perused the injury report indicating that the injured has suffered multiple incised wounds including on the head.

9. Considering the same, this Court is not inclined to entertain the application for pre-arrest bail in respect of Petitioner Nos.2 to 7. However, in the event the Petitioner Nos.2 to 7 surrender before the



learned Court in seisin in the aforesaid case and move an application for their release on bail, the same shall be considered on its own merit.

10. Accordingly, the ABLAPL stands disposed of.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Santoshi