



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

***Prakash Pradhan @ Mukta* ... *Petitioners*
(In BLAPL No. 12466 of 2025)
***Prakash Parida*
*(In BLAPL No. 11127 of 2025)*****

Mr. B.S.Panigrahi, Advocate
(In BLAPL No. 12466 of 2025)
Mr.B.K.Ragada, Advocate
(In BLAPL No. 11127 of 2025)

-versus-

State of Odisha* ... *Opposite Party
Mr. A.Pradhan, Addl. PP

**CORAM:
JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY**

**ORDER(ORAL)
18.12.2025**

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. Since these two bail applications arise out of one and same case record, the same are heard together and disposed of by this common order with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties.
3. These are bail applications U/S.483 of BNSS by the petitioners for grant of bail in connection with Tangi PS Case No. 774 of 2023 corresponding to ST Case No.155 of 2024(arising out of GR Case No. 760 of 2023) pending in the file of learned 2nd Addl. Sessions Judge, Khurda, for commission of offences punishable U/Ss.302/201/34 of the IPC, on the main



allegation of committing murder of one child namely Soumyaranjan Pradhan, the grandson of the informant by forcefully drowning him.

4. Heard, Mr. Bhawani Sankar Panigrahi, learned counsel for the petitioner in BLAPL No. 12466 of 2025; Mr. Bijaya Kumar Ragada, learned counsel for the petitioner in BLAPL No. 11127 of 2025 and Mr. A. Pradhan, learned Addl. PP in the matter and perused the record.

5. It is, however, advanced that the material witnesses having been examined have not supported the prosecution allegation and the basis of implication of the petitioners is on inadmissible material, but there appears allegation against the petitioners for committing murder of one child. No doubt, some of the material witnesses have been examined, but still material witnesses like Bikash Pradhan, Subash Pradhan & Bijaya Sahu are yet to be examined. In that view of the matter and taking into account the nature and gravity of the offence as alleged against the Petitioners vis-à-vis the accusation sought to be brought against them and regard being had to the materials placed on record and on going through the circumstance available against the petitioners and taking into account the law laid by the Apex Court in ***X Vrs. State of Rajasthan; (2024) SCC Online SC 3539***, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.



6. Hence, these two bail applications of the petitioners namely Prakash Pradhan @ Mukta (BLAPL No. 12466 of 2025) and Prakash Parida (BLAPL No. 11127 of 2025) stand rejected.

7. Accordingly, these BLAPLs stand disposed of.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge

kishore