



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMC No.4926 of 2025

Jayakrushna Das Mohapatra

.....

Petitioner

Represented By Adv. –
Mrs.Saswata Patnaik
Mr.Satya Ranjan Swain
Mr.Abit Sahu

-versus-

1) State Of Odisha

.....

Opp. Parties

2) Jagdish Das Mohapatra

Represented By Adv. –
Mr.S.K. Parhi, A.S.C.
Mr.B.K. Ragada, Adv.
for O.P. No.2

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA

ORDER
25.11.2025

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

I.A. No.3501 of 2025

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner.
3. This is an application for dispensing with the certified copy of the FIR, in Puri Town P.S Case No.497 of 2025 corresponding to G.R Case No.1212 of 2025 pending before the learned SDJM, Puri under Annexure-1.
4. Considering the prayer made in the I.A., filing of certified copy of the Annexure-1 is dispensed with for a period of eight weeks.



5. Accordingly, the I.A stands disposed of.

CRLMC No.4926 of 2025

6. Mr.Bijaya Kumar Ragada, learned counsel enters appearance on behalf of the Opposite Party No.2 by filing a vakalatnama in Court today and the same be kept on record. Registry is directed to reflect the name of Mr.B.K.Ragada in the cause list as well as in the brief as he is appearing on behalf of the Opposite Party No.2 in this case.

7. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.2. Perused the application as well as the prayer made therein.

8. By filing the present application under Section 528 of the BNSS, the Petitioner seeks quashing of the entire criminal proceeding.

9. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the outset contended that both the Petitioner and the Opposite Party No.2 belong to the same locality and they are known to each other. She further contended that due to a misunderstanding between the two, the Petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case.

10. Mr. Ragada, learned counsel appearing for the Opposite Part No.2 on the other hand seriously objected to the quashing of the entire criminal proceeding.

11. On a careful consideration of the submissions made by learned counsels appearing for the parties, this Court is of the view that the matter requires further consideration.



12. A copy of the application be served on Mr.Ragada, learned counsel appearing for the Opposite Party No.2 by 26.11.2025.

13. List this matter in the week commencing 15.12.2025.

14. In the meantime, learned counsel for the State shall obtain the case diary as well as instruction in this matter.

I.A. No.3500 of 2025

15. Heard learned counsels appearing for the parties.

16. Taking into consideration the fact that marriage of the son of the Petitioner is fixed to 26.11.2025, as an interim measure, it is directed that no coercive action shall be taken against the Petitioner in connection with Puri Town P.S Case No.497 of 2025 corresponding to G.R Case No.1212 of 2025 till the next date subject to the condition that the Petitioner shall not influence, threaten or terrorize any of the prosecution witnesses and shall not misuse the liberty in any manner whatsoever.

Issue urgent certified copy of this order in course of the day.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Anil