



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.13655 of 2025

Maniketan @ Minaketan Sahoo **Petitioner**

Mr. B. Pujari, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Parties**

Mr. M.K. Mohanty, ASC

Mr. Subham Sharma, Advocate for the Informant

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIAK

ORDER

11.02.2026

Order No.

02.

1. Recorded the submissions of learned counsel for the respective parties. It is claimed by Mr. Pujari, learned counsel for the petitioner that the present accused is not the driver, on the other hand, learned counsel for the informant alleges that the petitioner is the driver-cum-owner of the vehicle and is responsible for the alleged mischief and in the disappearance of the consignment. State has no instructions to respond on the affidavit of the informant at Flag-B. In view of the above, the Court directs learned counsel for the State to take necessary instructions and to inform the Court whether the petitioner happens to be the owner as well as the driver of the vehicle in question and to report back.

2. List on 23rd February, 2026 for orders.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge