



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No. 33715 of 2025

Kamal Lochan Maharana

.....

Petitioner

Mr. Arjuna Charana Behera, Advocate

-versus-

1. ***State of Odisha, represented
through its Secretary to
Government, Revenue and Disaster
Management Department, Govt. of
Odisha, BBSR***
2. ***Collector and District
Magistrate, Subarnapur***
3. ***Sub-Collector-cum-Sub-
Divisional Magistrate, Subarnapur***
4. ***Tahasildar, Sonapur***

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. M.K. Dash, ASC

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

18.12.2025

Order No.

01.

(Through hybrid Mode)

1. This writ petition has been filed challenging the order dated 10.09.2025 passed by the Tahasildar, Sonapur-opposite party no.4 in Mutation Case No. 1195/2025, refusing the application of the petitioner for conversion of the kissam of the land from agricultural to non-agricultural purpose. While rejecting the order, the Tahasildar, Sonapur has relied on the report of the Revenue Inspector that the land is a Gali Rasta and is being used by the villagers as road and he has also considered the objection of the local villagers.

2. Mr. M.K. Dash, learned Additional Standing Counsel submits that the petitioner has remedy to file an appeal under Section 58 of



the OLR Act against such order.

3. Mr. Arjuna Charana Behera, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall file the appeal within a period of three weeks from today and the certified copy of the ordersheet in Mutation Case No.1195 of 2025 may be returned to him substituting the same by an attested photostat copy.

4. Considering the above submissions, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a properly constituted appeal under Section 58 of the OLR Act before the appropriate authority. If the appeal is filed within a period of three weeks as undertaken, it is expected that the same shall be considered in accordance with law expeditiously, after hearing the concerned parties.

5. The certified copy of the ordersheet in Mutation Case No. 1195 of 2025 may be returned to the learned counsel on being substituted by an attested/photostat copy.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

puspa