



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.12453 of 2025

Sabir Kumar Kamila

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Shashanka Sekhar Panda

-versus-

State Of Odisha

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –

Mr. C.M. Singh, ASC

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

17.12.2025

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the bail application as well as the documents annexed thereto.
3. The present bail application has been filed under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S. with a prayer to release the Petitioner on regular bail in connection with C.T. Case No.735 of 2025 which arises out of Simulia P.S. Case No.243 of 2025 which was registered for commission of offence punishable under Sections 331(4)/310(2)/317(2) of the BNS.
4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further contended that being aggrieved by the rejection of his bail application by the Additional District and Sessions Judge, Soro, Balasore vide order dated 15.11.2025 passed in Bail Application No.484 of 2025, the Petitioner has



approached this Court by filing the present bail application.

5. In course of argument, learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that the Petitioner is languishing in custody since 11.10.2025. He further submitted that the Petitioner is not the principal accused in this case. So far as the present Petitioner is concerned the allegation is that he is the receiver of stolen property. On such ground, learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner be released on bail on any stringent terms and conditions, it was also contended that the investigation has progressed substantially in the meantime and charge-sheet is likely to be filed soon.

6. Learned counsel for the State on the other hand objected to the release of the Petitioner on bail on the ground that the Petitioner is a habitual offender. He further contended that 15 cases are pending against the present Petitioner. However, he did not dispute the fact that the Petitioner has been implicated in the present case as a receiver of the stolen property. He further contended that in the event the Petitioner is released on bail there is every likelihood that he may indulge in similar nature of offences. Accordingly, it was prayed that the bail application of the Petitioner be rejected.

7. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsels appearing for the both parties and on a careful examination of the surrounding facts and circumstances, further keeping in view the role of the present Petitioner in the alleged crime as well as the period of detention, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail subject to the Petitioner furnishing a bail bond of Rs.40,000/- (Rupees Forty thousand) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter.



8. Release of the petitioner shall be subject to the following conditions:-

- I. shall not indulge in similar type of offences while on bail;
- II. shall cooperate with the investigation and appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required for the purpose of investigation;
- III. shall not default in attendance of the court during trial on each date of posting;
- IV. shall appear before the local Police Station once in a fortnight till conclusion of the investigation;
- V. shall not leave the jurisdiction of the court till conclusion of the investigation;

Learned trial Court may impose any additional condition. It is further made clear that violation of any of the conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail application.

9. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner is subject to depositing a cash security of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) of before the learned Court in seisin over the matter, which shall be kept in any Nationalized bank in interest bearing account initially for a period of one year which will be renewable from time to time till conclusion of trial and the same shall be abide by the final outcome of the trial of the case.

10. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge