



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.29656 of 2024

Laxmipriya Malla

.....

Petitioner

Represented By Adv. -
Saroj Kumar Padhi

-versus-

1) State Of Odisha

.....

Opposite Parties

- 2) The Superintending Engineer, Rural Works Bbsr
- 3) The Chief Engineer Roads
- 4) The Engineer In Chief I, Rural Works Gramya Nirman Bhawan, Bbsr
- 5) Susanta Kumar Panda

Represented By Adv. –
Mr. M.R. Patra, A.S.C.

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

Order No.

29.04.2025

- 04.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
 2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as documents annexed thereto.
 3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

“That the petitioner therefore prayed for lordship be graciously pleased to admit the writ petition and to issue Rule NISI to the opposite parties to show cause as to;

- I) Why Opposite Party No.5 will not be directed to stop the unauthorized construction work on*



petitioner's land

II) *Why the opposite parties will not be directed for given the compensation worth to the petitioner in stipulated period*

And pass any other order(s), direction(s) as would deem fit and proper."

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before The Chief Engineer (Roads) office of the E.I.C., Rural Works Gramya Nirman Bhawan, Unit-4, Bhubaneswar, Dist-Khurda, Opposite Party No.3 under Annexure-3. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-3 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel submits that she has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.3, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-3 within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.3 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under



Annexure-3 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.3 be also communicated to the Petitioner within ten days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ application stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Judge

Rubi

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: RUBI BEHERA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 01-May-2025 13:20:06



Page 3 of 3.