



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.12354 of 2025

Rabindra Tarai

.....

Petitioner

Mr. Satya Ranjan Mulia, Adv.

-Versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. Rajdeep Pradhan, ASC

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

ORDER

17.12.2025

Order No.

01.

F.I.R No.	Dated	Police Station	Case No. and Courts' Name	Sections
374	11.10.2025	Kujang	G.R Case No.986 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned JMFC(P), Kujang	U/S. 126(2)/109(1)/115(2)/351(3) of the BNS, 2023

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. The petitioner being in custody in connection with Kujang P.S. Case No.374 of 2025, corresponding to G.R case No.986 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned JMFC(P), Kujang registered for the alleged commission of offence under Section 126(2)/109(1)/115(2)/351(3) of the BNS, 2023 has filed this petition for his release on bail.



3. The brief fact of the case in short is that on 11.10.2025 at about 11.33 pm, while the grandfather of the informant was returning from market, it is alleged that the present petitioner due to land dispute assaulted by means of 'chapad' for which the grandfather of the informant sustained injuries on his head and shifted to medical for treatment. Hence, this case.

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that this Petitioner being arrested in this case is in custody since 11.10.2025. He further submits that due to long standing civil dispute between the parties, the informant has foisted a false case against the petitioner. Rather, a counter case is pending against the family members of the informant vide G.R. Case No.987 of 2025. The Petitioner has no knowledge about the occurrence. He submits that the person who sustained injury is now free from danger. In view of all these above, when the Petitioner is a permanent resident of District Jagatsinghpur as also the question of tampering with the evidence does not arise, he urges for consideration of prayer for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts and keeping in view the submission of learned counsel for the Petitioner, this Court is of the view that



there is no requirement of keeping the Petitioner inside the custody any further. Accordingly, this Court directs that the Petitioner be released on bail by the Court *in seisin* over the matter on some stringent terms and conditions with further conditions that:

- i. the petitioner shall appear before the local Police Station on 1st Monday of every month between 10 A.M. to 1.00 P.M. till the conclusion of the trial;
- ii. the Petitioner shall not indulge himself in any criminal activities in future;
- iii. the Petitioner shall not tamper the evidence of the prosecution evidence in any manner;

Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail.

7. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge