



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.12331 of 2025

*Rudra Singh Lilhara @ Rudra
Shir Lelhere & Anr.*

..... *Petitioner (s)*
Mr. Kirtan Dang, Adv.

-Versus-

State of Odisha

..... *Opposite Party*
Mr. Rajdeep Pradhan, ASC

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

ORDER

17.12.2025

Order No.

01.

FIR/PR No.	Dated	Police Station	Case No. and Courts' Name	Sections
0105	01.11.2025	Titilagarh	G.R.P.S. Case No.105 of 2025 corresponding to Spl. G.R. Case No.12/2025 pending in the court of learned Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-	Section 20(b)(ii)(B) of NDPS Act



			Special Judge, Kantabanji	
--	--	--	------------------------------	--

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
3. The petitioners are in custody in connection with G.R.P.S. Case No.105 of 2025 corresponding to Spl. G.R. Case No.12/2025 pending in the court of learned Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Kantabanji, has filed the present application seeking release on bail. The case has been registered for alleged offences punishable under Section 20(b)(ii)(B) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.
4. The brief facts of the case are that on 01.11.2025, as per direction the informant along with staffs conducted intensive checking regarding illegal possession and transportation of contraband substances in Trains between Nawapara Road (NPD) Khariar Road (KRAR) Section. During checking Train No.12843 Puri, they were able to identify the suspected bags with exclusive and conscious possession of two young male person. One carries tow numbers bag and another one bag. Being reasonably suspected through the smell emitting from the bags and indication received from the nearby passengers, it was



suspected that the items available inside the back packs are contraband ganja. During course of interrogation, they disclosed their names.

5. Learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that the petitioners had no knowledge of the transportation of the contraband ganja. It is contended that the petitioners have no connection whatsoever with the alleged offences as claimed by the prosecution. Furthermore, the petitioners have been in custody since 02.11.2025 and the final P.R. has already been submitted. He further submits that the contraband ganjan seized is below commercial quantity. Accordingly, it is prayed that the Petitioners be released on bail.

6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail as the Petitioners are outsider.

7. Without entering into the merits of the case, and considering the facts and circumstances as well as the fact that the contraband ganja seized is below the commercial quantity, this Court is of the view that there is no requirement of keeping the Petitioners inside the custody any further. Accordingly, this Court directs that the Petitioners be released on bail by the Court *in seisin* over the matter in the aforesaid case on some stringent terms and conditions with further conditions that:-



- i. the Petitioners shall furnish bail bond of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only) each with two local solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the court *in seisin* over the matter.
 - ii. The Petitioners shall appear before the local Police Station on every Monday in between 10 A.M. to 1.00 PM.
 - iii. The Petitioners shall not indulge themselves in any criminal offence while on bail.
 - iv. The Petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or intimidate the prosecution witnesses in any manner.
8. The BLAPL is accordingly disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge