



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.12243 of 2025

Satyananda Behera

....

Petitioner

*Mr. Samvit Mohanty,
Advocate*

-versus-

***State of Odisha
(Vigilance)***

....

Opp. Party

*Mr. Sanjay Kumar Das,
Standing Counsel (Vigilance)*

**CORAM:
JUSTICE S.K. SAHOO**

**ORDER
17.12.2025**

Order No.

01. This matter is taken up through Hybrid arrangement (video conferencing/physical mode).

Heard.

This is an application for bail under section 483 BNSS in connection with Sambalpur Vigilance P.S. Case No.25 of 2025 corresponding to Vigilance G.R. case No.13 of 2025 pending in the file of learned Special Judge, Vigilance, Balangir for alleged commission of offences under sections 7 and 12 of P.C. Act, 1988 as amended by the P.C. (Amendment) Act, 2018.

The prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected by the learned Special Judge, Vigilance, Balangir vide order dated 18.11.2025.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was serving as Assistant Director of Textile and he is in judicial custody since 15.11.2025. He further submits that it is a trap case and in the meantime, investigation has made substantial progress and there is no chance of tampering with the evidence or absconding of the petitioner as the petitioner is a Government servant, therefore, the bail application of the petitioner may be favourably considered.

Learned Standing Counsel for the Vigilance Department files the written instruction dated 21.11.2025 received from the Inspector, Vigilance, Sambalpur Division, Sambalpur, wherein it is mentioned that no further remand of the petitioner is required and no custodial interrogation of the petitioner is also required and during investigation, it was ascertained that there are no other vigilance cases have been registered against the petitioner earlier and the present case is under investigation and the voice sample of the petitioner is yet to be collected for examination of voice spectrography analysis and the investigation is under progress and if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he may tamper with the evidence and will influence the witnesses. The written instruction is taken on record.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respective parties, the progress of investigation and taking into account the period of detention of the petitioner in judicial custody, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail.



Let the petitioner be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.50,000/- (rupees fifty thousand) with two local solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Court in seisin over the matter with further conditions as the learned Court below may deem just and proper including the condition that the petitioner shall appear before the learned trial Court on each date to which the case would be posted for trial and shall not try to influence the witnesses in any manner and shall cooperate with the investigation and shall appear if the Investigating Officer as and when required on being noticed by the I.O.

Violation of any of the conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy as per Rules.

(S.K. Sahoo)
Judge

Rajesh