



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**  
**Ramakanta Nayak**  
**(In BLAPL No.12479 2025)**  
**Sunakar Nayak**  
**(In BLAPL No.10645 of 2025) ... Petitioners**

*Mr. S.K.Ojha, Advocate*  
*(In BLAPL No. 12479 of 2025)*  
*Mr. M.N.Das, Advocate*  
*(In BLAPL No. 10645 of 2025)*  
*Mr.R.Samal, Advocate for informant*  
*(In BLAPL No. 10645 of 2025)*

*-versus-*

**State of Orissa ... Opposite Party**  
*Mr. A.Pradhan, Addl. PP*

**CORAM:**  
**JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY**

**ORDER(ORAL)**  
**18.12.2025**

**Order No.**  
**01.**

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. Since these two bail applications arise out of one and same case record, the same are heard together and disposed of by this common order with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties.
3. These are applications U/S.483 of BNSS by the petitioners for grant of bail in connection with Rajkanika P.S. Case No.288 of 2024 corresponding to GR Case No. 688 of 2025 pending in the file of learned JMFC, Aul for commission of offences punishable U/Ss. 302/427/323/324/354/379/34 of the IPC, on the main allegation of committing murder



of the deceased Sushila Nayak, along with co-accused persons by assaulting her with deadly weapons.

4. Heard Mr. Santosh Kumar Ojha, learned counsel for the petitioner in BLAPL No. 12479 of 2025, Mr. Manish Nag Das, learned counsel for the petitioner in BLAPL No. 10645 of 2025, Mr. Ravishankar Samal, learned counsel for the informant in BLAPL No. 10645 of 2025 and Mr. A. Pradhan, learned Addl. PP in the matter and perused the record.

5. After having considered the rival submissions and taking into account the nature and gravity of the offence as alleged against the petitioners vis-à-vis the allegations sought to be brought against them and regard being had to the pretrial detention of the petitioner Ramakanta Nayak in custody since 14.11.2025 and keeping in view the main allegation of assaulting the deceased being directed against the petitioner Sunakar Nayak and the informant being yet to be examined in this case and there being recovery of one crowbar pursuant to the disclosure statement of petitioner-Sunakar Nayak and taking into account the totality of the materials placed on record and keeping in view grant of bail to co-accused Kunjalata Pradhan @ Kunja Pradhan & others and Teru @ Rohit Behera by a coordinate Bench of this Court in BLAPL No. 416 of 2025 & BLAPL No. 2729 of 2025, this Court while not being inclined to grant bail to



petitioner-Sunakar Nayak, considers it proper to grant bail to the petitioner-Ramakanta Nayak.

6. Hence, the bail application of the petitioner Ramakanta Nayak (BLAPL No. 12479 of 2025) stands allowed, whereas the bail application of the petitioner Sunakar Nayak (BLAPL No. 10645 of 2025) stands rejected and accordingly the petitioner- Ramakanta Nayak is allowed to go on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Court in seisin of the case on such terms and conditions as deem fit and proper by it.

7. Accordingly, these BLAPLs stands disposed of. Issue urgent certified copy of the order as per Rules.

**(G. Satapathy)**  
**Judge**

*kishore*