



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No. 13507 of 2025

Kailash Ghadai

....

Petitioner

Mr. S. G. Das, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. C.R. Swain, AGA

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

02.12.2025

Order No.

- 01.** 1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
2. The Petitioner is seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with G.R. Case No.22895 of 2025 pending on the file of learned S.D.J.M., Berhampur arising out of Baidyanathpur P.S. Case No.432 of 2025 for commission of offences punishable under Sections 109/115(2)/126(2)/3(5) of BNS.
3. It is submitted by the learned counsel that the Petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case at hand and submits that he is an employee working in a bank. Hence, he may be protected by pre-arrest bail.
4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for pre-arrest bail and refers to the injury



report inter alia indicating that the injury corresponds to the allegation.

5. It is also brought to the notice of this Court that the present Petitioner does not enjoy good reputation in his area and as such the locals are not venturing to implicate him in any case and referring to such recitals in the Case Diary, learned counsel for the State oppose the prayer for pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the nature of allegation, this Court directs that on surrendering within three weeks hence and moving for bail, the Petitioner shall be released on bail by the learned Court in seisin on such terms as deemed just and proper subject to verification of criminal antecedent of similar nature.

7. If it comes to the fore that the Petitioner has any such criminal antecedent, this order shall not be given effect to.

8. Additionally, it is directed that Petitioner shall appear before the jurisdictional police station once every thrice a week on such date and time to be fixed by the learned Court in seisin for the next three months or till submission of final form whichever is earlier. Certification of such appearance shall be submitted to the Court in seisin.



9. It is further directed that the Petitioner shall not in any way try to threaten or intimidate the informant and his family.
10. It shall be open for the prosecution as well as the informant to seek variance of this order, if there is any threat perception.
11. It is needless to state that the Petitioner shall cooperate with the ongoing investigation.
12. Accordingly, the ABLAPL stands disposed of.
13. U.C.C. as per rules.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Santoshi