



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.12446 of 2025

Dakoji Venkat Rao

...

Petitioner

Mr. B.K. Das, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

...

Opposite Party

Mr. S.C. Pradhan, Addl. PP

CORAM: JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY
ORDER(ORAL) 25.02.2026

Order No.

02.

1. This is a bail application U/S.483 of BNSS by the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Paralakhemundi PS Case No.341 of 2024 corresponding to GR Case No.671 of 2024 arising out of ST Case No.41 of 2025 pending in the file of learned Sessions Judge, Paralakhemundi for commission of offences punishable U/Ss.124(1)/109/3(5) of the BNS, on the main allegation of attempting to the life of the injured by throwing acid to him resulting in loss of vision.

2. In the course of hearing Mr. Biplab Kumar Dash, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that two unknown persons attacked the injured, but basing on the statement of the co-accused, the petitioner has been implicated in this case and the petitioner, therefore, having not done anything in this case may kindly be granted with bail. On the other hand, Mr. S.C. Pradhan, learned Addl. PP by placing the instruction regarding present health condition of the injured submits that not only the injured has lost his vision, but also the petitioner is found to have attacked the injured by throwing acid to him and therefore, the petitioner's bail application may kindly be rejected.



3. After having considered the rival submission upon perusal of record, there appears allegation against the petitioner for attempting to the life of the injured by throwing acid to him and as a consequence thereof, the victim apart from sustaining chemical injury has lost his vision as per the written instruction received from IIC Paralakhemundi PS. The offence is not only serious, but also grave and the bail application of the petitioner was earlier rejected by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in BLAPL No.4637 of 2025, but there is hardly any change in circumstances in the meanwhile to consider the bail application of the petitioner afresh. In view of the above facts and taking into account the nature and gravity of the offence as alleged against the present petitioner vis-à-vis the accusation sought to be brought against him and regard being had to the materials placed on record together with the injury that has been sustained by the injured/victim, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. Hence, the bail application of the petitioner stands rejected. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of. In view of the alternative oral prayer as advanced for the petitioners, trial be expedited, if there is no other legal impediment.

A soft copy of this order be immediately communicated to the learned trial Court.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge