



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.12337 of 2025

Sk Majid @ Manda

..... *Petitioner*

Mr. Ronalisha Pradhan, Adv.

-Versus-

State of Odisha

..... *Opposite Party*

Mr. Rajdeep Pradhan, ASC

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

ORDER

17.12.2025

Order No.

01.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Case No. and Courts' Name	Sections
0030	03.02.2025	Industrial Estate	Khordha Industrial Estate P.S. Case No.30 of 2025 corresponding to T.R. Case No.10 of 2025 pending in the court of learned 1 st Addl. District and Sessions Judge, Khurda	Section 21(b)/29 of the N.D.P.S. Act



1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. Heard learned counsels for the Parties.
3. The Petitioner is in custody in connection with Khordha Industrial Estate P.S. Case No.30 of 2025 corresponding to T.R. Case No.10 of 2025 pending in the court of learned 1st Addl. District and Sessions Judge, Khurda, registered for the alleged commission of offences under Section 21(b)/29 of the N.D.P.S. Act has filed this petition for his release on bail.
4. The allegation as made in the F.I.R. reveals that on 03.02.2025 at about 12.10 P.M., the informant got information that the accused persons were selling brown sugar near Tata Motors, Nayagarh road by differentiating brown sugar to the local youths. Getting such information, he along with his staff proceeded to the spot and after observing all the formalities, detained them. On search, they recovered 12.83 grams of brown sugar from the conscious and exclusive possession of the accused persons and seized the same in presence of the witnesses.



5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that nothing has been seized from the conscious possession of the present Petitioner and he is in custody since 03.02.2025. He further submits that the Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and another co-accused person has been released on bail. Hence, he submits that the prayer of the present Petitioner may be allowed to release on bail.

6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the Petitioner.

7. Without going into the merit of the case and keeping in view the submissions of learned counsel for the Petitioner, this Court is of the view that there is no requirement of keeping the Petitioner inside the custody any further. Accordingly, this Court directs that the Petitioner be released on bail by the Court *in seisin* over the matter on some stringent terms and conditions with further conditions that:-

- i. the Petitioner shall appear before the trial court on each date of posting of the case;



ii. the Petitioner shall appear before the local police station once a week on Monday in between 10 A.M. to 12.00 Noon till the end of trial.

iii. the Petitioner shall not indulge himself in any criminal offence while on bail; and

iv. the Petitioner shall not tamper the evidence of the prosecution witnesses in any manner.

Violation of any of the above conditions shall lead to cancellation of the bail.

8. The BLAPL is accordingly disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge

Sumitra