



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPCRL No.139 of 2024

Swagatika Sahoo

....

Petitioner

Represented By Adv. –
Mr. A.K. Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

....

Opposite Parties

Represented By Adv. –
Mr. S. Nayak, ASC
Mr. Sidharth Mishra, Advocate

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARINDAM SINHA

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. SAHOO

ORDER

20.12.2024

Order No.

- 03.** 1. Mr. A.K. Mishra, learned advocate appears on behalf of petitioner, the widowed mother who has been separated from her child. He refers to direction in paragraph-3 of our order dated 18th December, 2024 and submits, the child has not been produced. Mr. Nayak, learned advocate, Additional Standing Counsel appears on behalf of State.
2. Mr. Sidharth Mishra, learned advocate appears and submits, learned advocate engaged for opposite party nos. 4 and 5 unfortunately could not be present. So also, said opposite parties and the child. He



submits, the writ petition be posted to Monday (23rd December, 2024), when the child will be produced.

3. This Bench will not function on 23rd and 24th December, 2024 by reason of absence of one of us (Arindam Sinha, J.). There is, therefore, likelihood of urgent matters being assigned to another Bench. Mr. Mishra has liberty to produce website copy of this order and mention before the assigned Bench for ensuring production of the child at 2.00 P.M. on 23rd December, 2024. Here, we must make known our intention to direct production. It was for purpose of petitioner to at least see her child. The direction for production was not with intention to hand over custody. That is to be decided on hearing the writ petition.

4. Petitioner has liberty to mention as aforesaid and rely upon website copy of this order.

(Arindam Sinha)
Judge

(M.S. Sahoo)
Judge