



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
ABLAPL No. 13327 of 2025

1. Kartik Palei **Petitioners**
2. Arta @ Artabandhu Palei
3. Chandan Palei
4. Rajat Palei
5. Kathia Majhi
6. Muna Majhi

Mr. A. Tripathy, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Party**
Mr. S. Panda, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

16.12.2025

Order No.

- 02.** 1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and learned counsel for the State.
2. The Petitioners are seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with G.R. Case No.295 of 2025 pending on the file of learned J.M.F.C., Bhapur arising out of Fategarh P.S. Case No.308 of 2025 for commission of offences punishable under Sections 191(3)/ 126(2)/ 296/ 351(2)/ 115(2)/ 118(1)/ 118(2)/ 74/ 79/ 109 & 190 of the BNS.
3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioners that omnibus allegations have been made just to wriggle out of the accusation in the counter case. Hence, the Petitioners may be protected by pre-arrest bail.



4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for pre-arrest bail and submits that though the injuries have been categorized as simple they corresponds to the allegations. Hence, no leniency ought to be shown.

5. Taking into account the nature of allegation and the submissions made, this Court directs that on surrendering within three weeks hence and moving for bail, the Petitioners shall be released on bail by the learned Court in seisin on such terms as deemed just and proper subject to verification of criminal antecedent under Section 307 IPC/109 of BNS.

6. If it comes to the fore that the Petitioners have any criminal antecedent under section 307 IPC/109 BNS, this order shall not be given effect to.

7. It is needless to state that the Petitioners shall cooperate with the ongoing investigation.

8. Accordingly, the ABLAPL stands disposed of.

9. U.C.C. as per rules.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Santoshi