



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**W.P.(C) No. 33028 of 2025**

***Rasanta Kumar Naik***

.....

***Petitioner***

Mr. D.R. Bhokta, Advocate

-versus-

***State of Odisha & Anr.***

.....

***Opposite Parties***

Mr. C.K. Pradhan, AGA

**CORAM:**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**ORDER**

**09.12.2025**

**Order No. 01**

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the Opp. Parties.
3. Petitioner has filed the present writ petition inter alia with the following prayer:-

*“The petitioner, therefore, prays that this Hon'ble Court be graciously pleased to issue a Rule Nisi calling upon the opposite parties to show cause as to why a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or writs shall not be issued;*

*i. Directing the Opposite parties to take necessary steps for implementation of Odisha Municipal Administration Service (Method of Recruitment) and condition of service Rule 2016 with immediate effect.*

*ii. Further, a direction may be issued immediately to the opposite parties restricting them from deputing of Odisha Revenue Service and Odisha Administrative Service from Revenue and Disaster management Department and other cadre Officers as Executive officer of Municipality/ NACs against the post as kept reserved (50% of total post) for fill up through selection process as*



*per OMAS Service Rule 2016, CHAPTER- II, (Method of Recruitment) vide Odisha Gazette S.R.O No.641/2016.*

*iii. Also, another direction may be issued immediately to the opposite parties restricting any change or alternation in the said Rule unless until implementation of the existing rule or until further order.*

*iv. If the opposite parties fail to show-cause or show insufficient cause to make the said rule absolute and*

*V. To pass such other order/orders and to issue such other writ/writs as would afford complete relief to the petitioner,*

*And for this act of kindness, the petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray.”*

4. However, taking into account the claim raised in the present Writ Petition, liberty is granted to the Petitioner to make a fresh representation before Opp. Party No.2 by enclosing all the relevant documents and citations in support of his claim, if any, within a period of three (3) weeks hence.

5. It is observed that if such representation is filed within the aforesaid time period, Opp. Party No. 2 shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of such representation. The order so passed by Opp. Party No. 2 be communicated to the Petitioner.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

**(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)**  
**Judge**

*Jyoti*