



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.32486 of 2025

Dr. Pratap Chandra Mohanta

Petitioner

Mr. N. Rath, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

Opposite Parties

Mr. S.P. Das, ASC

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

18.12.2025

Order No.01

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the Opp. Parties.
3. Petitioner has filed the present writ petition inter alia with the following prayer:-

“The petitioner, therefore most humbly prays that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to Admit the writ application, to issue rule Nisi calling upon the opposite parties to show cause as to why the prayers made hereunder be not allowed, Upon showing insufficient cause/ no cause make the said Rule absolute. Issue writ/ writs in the nature of

i. Mandamus directing the Opp. parties to regularise the service of the petitioner in the post of Homeopathic Medical Officer with effect from the date of his initial engagement on contractual basis by modifying the order.dtd.11.11.2003 under Annexure-3 with all the regularisation order dtd.03.09.2025 under Annexure-7 passed in respect of similarly situated HMOs like the petitioner of the same merit list of 1994 under Annexure-1 within a period stipulated by this Hon'ble Court.



And/or may pass such other writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions as this Hon'ble Court may think fit and proper per for the ends of justice.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray."

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that though highlighting his grievances, Petitioner has filed a representation at Annexure-9 to the Writ Petition before the Opp. Party No. 1, but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays that a direction be issued to Opposite Party No. 1 to take a decision on the above noted Petition within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs Opposite Party No. 1 to take a decision on the above noted petition in accordance with law, within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of this order and communicate the result of such exercise to the Petitioner.

6. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Sneha