



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.11864 of 2025

Sugata Majumder

...

Petitioner

Mr. M.K. Rath, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

...

Opposite Party

Mr. A.K. Nayak, Advocate (OPID)

**CORAM:
JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY**

**ORDER(ORAL)
11.12.2025**

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).

2. This is the bail application U/S.483 of the BNSS by the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with CID, CB, Cyber Crime P.S. Case No. 15 of 2025 corresponding to C.T. Case No. 6 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned P.O., Designated Court under the OPID Act, Cuttack, for commission of offence punishable U/Ss. 318(2)/ 318(4) of BNS r/w. Sec. 66(D) of IT Act, Section 4(5)(6) of Prize Chits and Money Circulation Schemes (Banning) Act, r/w. Sec. 6 of Odisha Protection of Interests of Depositors (Amendment) Act, on the main allegation of assisting the co-accused to cheat the informant and others for lakhs of rupees by inviting them to invest money on the assurance of high returns.

3. In the course of hearing, Mr. Mihir Kanta Rath, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



there is in fact no material against the present petitioner to have played any role in this case and all the allegations are directed against co-accused persons, who have played some role in cheating the informant & others, but the petitioner having no role in the transaction and detained in custody since 24.10.2025, may kindly be granted bail.

3.1. In opposing the prayer for bail of the petitioner, Mr. A.K. Nayak, learned counsel for the OPID, however, does not dispute about the non-involvement of the petitioner in the transaction, but he submits that the petitioner has some role in cheating of the informant and, therefore, the bail application of the petitioner may kindly be rejected.

4. After having considered the rival submissions and taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offences as alleged against the petitioner vis-à-vis the accusations sought to be brought against him and regard being had to the pre-trial detention of the petitioner in custody since 24.10.2025 with submission of charge sheet in the meantime and the main allegation of cheating by alluring the investors to deposit money for high returns being directed against co-accused persons and taking into account the role as alleged against the petitioner and there being no financial transaction stated to be done by the petitioner as confirmed by learned counsel for OPID and keeping in view the other circumstances on



record in entirety including the inherent right of the accused to be presumed innocent until proven guilty at the trial, this Court without expressing any view on merit admits the petitioner to bail.

5. Hence, the bail application of the petitioner stands allowed and the petitioner is allowed to go on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Court in seisin of the case on such terms and conditions as deem fit and proper by it with following condition:-

(i) the petitioner shall co-operate with the further investigation, if required by appearing before the IO.

6. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of. Issue urgent certified copy of the order as per Rules.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge

S.Sasmal