



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

Hemanta Naik
(In BLAPL No.12276 of 2025)
Sanjay @ Bhaskar Harijan & another
(In BLAPL No.11204 of 2025)
Sunam Nayak
(In BLAPL No. 11227 of 2025)
Rupesh Nag
(In BLAPL No. 11791 of 2025)
Ranjan Nayak @ Naik
(In BLAPL No. 13161 of 2025) ... **Petitioners**

Mr. P.Panigrahi, Advocate
(in BLAPL Nos.12276 & 11791 of 2025)
Mr. A.Mishra, Advocate
(in BLAPL Nso.11204 & 11227 of 2025)
Mr.G.Banji, Advocate
(in BLAPL No. 13161 of 2025)

versus-

State of Orissa ... **Opposite Party**
Mr. A.Pradhan, Addl. PP

CORAM:
JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY

ORDER(ORAL)
18.12.2025

Order No.
01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. Since these five bail applications arise out of one and same case record, the same are heard together and disposed of by this common order with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties.
3. Mr. Arijeet Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioners in BLAPL No.11204 of 2025 by filing a memo, which is taken on record, prays to not press the bail application of petitioner No.1-Sanjay @ Bhaskar Harijan.



Accordingly, the bail application of the petitioner No.1- Sanjay @ Bhaskar Harijan stands disposed of as not pressed and the bail application in BLAPL No.11204 of 2025 is only confined to petitioner No.2 namely Narahari Nayak.

4. These are the bail applications U/S.483 of BNSS by the petitioners for grant of bail in connection with B.Singhpur PS Case No.15 of 2025 corresponding to GR Case No.45 of 2025 pending in the file of learned JMFC, Boriguma for commission of offences punishable U/Ss.310(2) of the BNS, on the main allegation of committing dacoity from the informant and taking away a sum of Rs.8,59,300/- from him.

5. Heard, Mr. Panchanan Panigrahi, learned counsel for the petitioners in BLAPL Nos.12276 & 11791 of 2025; Mr. Arijeet Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner No.2 in BLAPL No.11204 of 2025 & petitioner in BLAPL No. 11227 of 2025; Mr.Ghanashyam Banji, learned counsel for petitioner in BLAPL No. 13161 of 2025; and Mr. A.Pradhan, learned Additional Public Prosecutor in the matter and perused the record.

5.1 The learned counsels for the respective petitioners submit that all the petitioners were put to TI parade, but they have not been identified by the identifying witness in such TI parade and their implication is only on the basis of statement of co-accused Bhaskar Harijan, however, Mr.A.Pradhan, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor could not provide any



instruction with regard to TI parade in respect of the petitioners, but on being asked to produce copy of the TI parade report, Mr. Panchanan Panigrahi, Mr. Arijeet Mishra & Mr. Ghanashyam Banji, learned counsels for the respective petitioners volunteer to withhold bail to the concerned petitioner(s), if he/they are found to have been identified by the identifying witness in the TI parade.

6. After having considered the rival submissions and taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offences as alleged against the petitioners vis-à-vis the accusations sought to be brought against them and regard being had to the pre-trial detention of the petitioners in custody with submission of charge sheet in the meantime and taking into account the plea as advanced for the petitioners for not being identified in TI parade despite being put to TI parade and keeping in view the inherent right of the accused to be presumed innocent until proven guilty at the trial, which is unlikely to commence in near future, this Court without expressing any opinion on merits admits each of the petitioners to bail, but subject to verification of their identification in the TI parade.

8. Hence, these five bail applications of the petitioners namely Hemanta Naik in BLAPL No.12276 of 2025, only Narahari Nayak in BLAPL No. 11204 of 2025, Sunam Nayak in BLAPL No. 11227 of 2025, Rupesh Nag in BLAPL No. 11791 of 2025 and Ranjan Nayak @ Naik



in BLAPL No.13161 of 2025 are allowed and each of them is allowed to go on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two solvent sureties for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Court in seisin of the case on such terms and conditions as deem fit and proper by it.

In view of the specific submission as advanced for the petitioner(s), the benefit of this order shall not be extended to the concerned petitioner(s), if he/they is/are found to have been identified in the TI parade conducted in this case.

9. Accordingly, these BLAPL Nos.12276, 11204, 11227, 11791 & 13161 of 2025 stand disposed of.

10. Issue urgent certified copy of the order as per Rules.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge

kishore