



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.27297 of 2024

Dola Gobinda Sahoo

Petitioner

Mr. S. Swain, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

Opposite Parties

Mr. P.K. Panda, ASC

Mr.A. Parida, Adv. for O.P.2

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

12.12.2025

Order No.

03.

- 1.** This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel for Petitioners and learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.
- 3.** The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

“It is therefore, humbly prayed that, under the above circumstances, the Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue RULE NISI calling upon the Opposite Parties to show cause and if the Opposite Parties failed to file show cause or filed insufficient cause, on perusal of causes shown make the said Rule absolute and be further pleased to

i) Issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order directing the General Manager, Agricultural Odisha State Board, Marketing Bhubaneswar / Opp. Party No.2 to take steps for regularization of services of the petitioner serving as Market Guard (Temporary Status) as against regular Group-D vacancies posts keeping in view the proposal submitted by the Sub-Collector, Keonjhar-cum-Chairman, Regulated market Committee, Keonjhar -Opposite Party No.4 vide letter No.309 dtd. 15.05.2024 under Annexure-9 with all consequential benefits within a stipulated period as fixed by the Hon'ble Court;



And/or to pass such other order(s) as deem just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

And for this act of kindness, the petitioner, shall, as in duty bound, ever pray”.

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the request made to Opposite Party No.2 under Annexure-9 to the writ petition is pending, but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, he prays that a direction be issued to Opposite Party No.2 to take a decision on the above noted request within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs Opposite Party No.2 to take a decision on the above noted request so made under Annexure-9 in accordance with law, within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of this order, if the same has not yet been carried out.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat