



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.A. No.3174 of 2024

Girish Chandra Nayak

....

Appellant

Represented By Adv. –
Mr. S. B. Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

....

Respondents

Represented By Adv. –
Mr.S.P. Das, ASC for
respondent nos.1 & 2
Mr. P. Mohanty, Advocate
for respondent no.3

CORAM:

JUSTICE MANASH RANJAN PATHAK

JUSTICE MRUGANKA SEKHAR SAHOO

ORDER

19.08.2025

(Hybrid Mode)

Order No.

03.

1. Learned counsel for the appellant is heard at some length and so also learned counsel for the respondent no.3.
2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the appellant that the prayer made in the writ petition is for "... re-induct/reengage the petitioner in any of the post ...".

It is submitted that the learned Single Judge has went to consider the writ petition in the context of the regularization of services of the appellant-petitioner. He submits that the appellant is in a distressed condition, he only requires some engagement without any further claim based on such engagement.



3. Learned counsel for the respondent no.3 submits that the authorities have acted in accordance with the Orissa Municipal Act, 1950 in the premises that the appellant-petitioner was engaged in prior to 2003.
4. Mr. Das, learned Additional Standing Counsel submits that at paragraph-6 of the writ petition the petitioner has made a statement regarding his services to be regularized.
5. At this stage, Mr. Mohanty, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant shall only confine his prayer and contention of getting any kind of engagement in the respondent-Corporation without any further claim.
6. Learned counsel for respondent no.3 Mr. Mohanty shall obtain instruction.
7. List on 19th September, 2025.

(Manash Ranjan Pathak)
Judge

(Mruganka Sekhar Sahoo)
Judge