



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) NO. 31463 OF 2025

Sitakanta Mishra

.... **Petitioners**

Mr. Laxman Pradhan, Advocate

-versus-

***The Management of Regulated
Market Committee, Bolangir and
others***

.... **Opposite Parties**

Mr. Sibanarayan Biswal,
Additional Standing Counsel

CORAM:
JUSTICE K.R. MOHAPATRA
JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER
19.12.2025

Order No.

02. 1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Petitioner in this writ application prays for the following relief;

“It is therefore prayed that your Lordship would graciously be pleased to issue a Rule Nisi in the nature of Mandamus, calling upon the opp.parties to so cause as to why:

i. The petitioner will be not be reinstated to his service of Yardman in pursuance to the award dt. 30.12.2008 vide Annexure-1;

ii. The petitioner will not be paid his arrear salary with effect from 01.01.2009;

iii. Pass any other order(s) with other service benefits.

If the opp.parties will fail to show cause or show insufficient or false cause made the said rule Nisi be absolute and allow the writ petition with costs.



And for this act of your kindness the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.”

3. Mr. Pradhan, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner in this writ application prays for the implementation of the award dated 30th December, 2008 passed by the learned Labour Court, Sambalpur in Industrial Dispute Case No. 09 of 2006.

4. In course of hearing, Mr. Pradhan, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays for withdrawal of the writ petition to work out his remedy under Section 29 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

5. This Court without expressing any opinion on the merit of such submission, disposes of the writ application as withdrawn.

Urgent certified copy of the order shall be granted on proper application.

(K.R. Mohapatra)

Judge

(Savitri Ratho)

Judge

Subhalaxmi