



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMC No.4413 of 2024

Chandra Sekhar Thakur

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Manmeet Singh Arora

-versus-

State Of Odisha

.....

Opposite Party

Represented by Adv. –

Mr. S.K. Parhi, ASC

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

15.12.2025

Order No.

02.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the application as well as the prayer made therein.
3. By filing the present application under Section 528 of BNSS, the Petitioner seeks quashing of the FIR in Sonapur P.S. Case No.210 of 2023 which corresponds to G.R. Case No.197 of 2023 now pending in the file of learned S.D.J.M., Sonapur. The above noted case was registered at the instance of the DEO, Sonapur as Informant alleging commission of an offence punishable under Sections 420/468/471 of the IPC.
4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the outset contended that on the basis of the B.Ed certificate he was given an



appointment as TGT teacher. He further contended that the Petitioner has been discharging duties to the satisfaction of the authority for last six years. However, during verification it was found that the B.Ed certificate produced by the Petitioner was not a genuine one. In reply to the aforesaid discrepancy, learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that he has been issued with such a certificate by a person whom he had contacted from the B.Ed. certificate. He has further taken a stand that it was not within the knowledge of the Petitioner that the certificate which was issued to the Petitioner is a forged one. On such ground, learned counsel for the Petitioner prayed for quashing of the entire criminal proceeding against the present Petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the State on the other hand objected to the prayer made in the present application the ground that a case of forgery is well made out against the present Petitioner. He further submitted that in the meantime the investigation has been concluded and the charge-sheet has been filed. In course of argument, learned counsel for the State also submitted that the investigating officer has verified the genuineness of the certificate of the Petitioner provided at the time of appointment and the same has been confirmed by the issuing authority to be a forged one. On such ground, learned counsel for the State contended that a case under the alleged sections is well made out against the present Petitioner. Therefore, this Court should not interfere in the matter in exercise of its inherent power.

6. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsels appearing for both sides, on a careful examination of the materials available on record as well as upon a close scrutiny of the



background facts, this Court is of the view that the facts of the present case do not call for any interference by this Court in exercise of its inherent powers under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. However, while disposing of the present application, liberty is granted to the present Petitioner to raise all the grounds before the learned trial court. In such eventuality, the learned trial court shall consider such grounds raised by the Petitioner while delivering the final judgment.

7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the CRLMC application stands disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Sisir