



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.11311 of 2025

Rajesh Rout

...

Petitioner

Mr. A. Das, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

...

Opposite Party

Mr. P. Satpathy, Addl. PP

CORAM: JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY

ORDER(ORAL):16.03.2026

Order No.

04.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. This is a bail application U/S.483 of BNSS by the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Kamakhyanagar PS Case No.108 of 2025 corresponding to CT (Sessions) Case No.149 of 2025 pending in the file of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kamakhyanagar, for commission of offences punishable U/Ss.85/115(2)/103(1)/80(2)/351(2)/3(5) of BNS r/w Section 4 of DP Act, on the main allegation of committing uxoricide and dowry death of his wife by subjecting her to torture and cruelty for demand of dowry, along with co-accused persons in furtherance of their common attention.
3. Heard, Mr. Anirudha Das, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. P. Satpathy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor in the matter and perused the record.
4. After having considered the rival submissions upon perusal of record, there appears allegation against the petitioner for committing uxoricide and dowry death



of the deceased and the materials on record disclose the homicidal death of the deceased, which is otherwise than normal circumstance and the dead body was recovered allegedly from the house of the petitioner, who is her husband. It is alleged that the marriage between the petitioner and his wife took place within seven years before the occurrence. In the aforesaid facts and circumstance and taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offences as alleged against the petitioner vis-à-vis the allegation sought to be brought against him and regard being had to the materials placed on record together with the opinion of the doctor as to the cause of death of the deceased in the Post Mortem Report and keeping in view the status of the present petitioner as husband and the homicidal death of the deceased, who was pregnant at the time of her death, this Court does not consider it proper to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Hence, the bail application of the petitioner stands rejected. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of. A copy of this order be immediately transmitted to the learned Court in seisin over the matter.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge