



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

RPFAM No. 330 of 2025

Dakshya Karkaria

....

Petitioner

Mr. G. Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

***Urmila Karkaria and
others***

.... ***Opposite Parties***

CORAM:

JUSTICE MRUGANKA SEKHAR SAHOO

ORDER

09.02.2026

(Hybrid Mode)

Order No.

01.

1. Petitioner-husband is before this Court by filing this petition challenging the judgment dated 19.09.2025 passed by the learned Judge, Family Court, Rayagada in Criminal Proceeding No. 05 of 2025. By the said judgment the petition filed by the wife in the marriage under section 144 of the BNSS, 2023 seeking maintenance of ₹20,000/- per month for herself and son and daughter each, has been allowed in part from the date of application i.e. 06.02.2025. After the learned counsel for the petitioner has completed his submissions, he was asked in view of the mandate of law and the directions of the Hon'ble Apex in **Rajnish v. Neha**, reported in **AIR 2021 SC 569**, the judgment sought to be challenged being in



the nature of money decree, how much amount has been paid by the petitioner. On being further asked, learned counsel submitted that learned instructing counsel has not obtained any instruction though the petitioner has opted to challenge the maintenance amount. Petitioner has adjournment to provide instruction before issuance of notice how he will secure the amount due towards the opposite parties.

2. List on 11.02.2026 for fresh admission, as prayed for by the learned counsel for the petitioner to enable him to obtain instruction.

(Mruganka Sekhar Sahoo)
Judge

dutta